

जून महिन्यात सेवानिवृत्त झालेल्या शिक्षकांना १ जुलै रोजी मिळणारी वार्षिक वेतनवाढ न मिळणे : ५

अखेर अवमान याचिकेच्या नोटीसनंतर उच्च शिक्षण विभागाने काढले आदेश

डॉ. प्रवीण रघुवंशी, अध्यक्ष, नुटा

३२. “जून महिन्यात सेवानिवृत्त झालेल्या शिक्षकांना १ जुलै रोजी मिळणारी वार्षिक वेतनवाढ न मिळणे” या विषयावरील तपशीलवार टिपणाच्या माध्यमातून यापूर्वी ३१ परिच्छेद प्रसृत करण्यात आलेले आहेत. त्यापैकी परिच्छेद १ ते ११ सन २०१९ च्या नुटा बुलेटीनच्या पृष्ठ १४६ वर, परिच्छेद १२ ते १७ सन २०२१ च्या नुटा बुलेटीनच्या पृष्ठ ३० वर, परिच्छेद १८ ते २० सन २०२१ च्या नुटा बुलेटीनच्या पृष्ठ २१३ वर व परिच्छेद २१ ते ३१ सन २०२२ च्या पृष्ठ १४५ वर यापूर्वी प्रसृत करण्यात आलेले आहेत.

३३. सदरहू प्रकरणातील सन २०२१ च्या याचिका क्रमांक १५३७ मध्ये दिनांक २२ सप्टेंबर २०२२ रोजी मा.उच्च न्यायालयाचा निर्णय (159/2022) या शिक्षकांच्या बाजूने लागला. दोन महिन्यांच्या आत याबाबतची कारवाई पूर्ण करावी असे मा.उच्च न्यायालयाने आदेशित केले. ते पुढील शब्दात :-

“5. We direct the Respondents to take all necessary steps for granting notional annual increments to the Petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment.

6. The Petitioners would be entitled to receive all consequential benefits accordingly.”

३४. मा.उच्च न्यायालयाच्या आदेशाप्रमाणे दिनांक २२ नोव्हेंबर २०२२ पर्यंत याबाबतचे आदेश निघणे आवश्यक होते. पण तसे झाले नाही. खरे म्हणजे तत्सम विषयावरील विशेष अनुमती याचिका (SLP) सर्वोच्च न्यायालयात यापूर्वीच फेटाळण्यात आली होती. नेहमीच्या सवयीप्रमाणे उच्च न्यायालयात पुनर्विलोकन याचिका दाखल करणे, त्यानंतर सर्वोच्च न्यायालयात विशेष अनुमती याचिका दाखल करणे, या दरम्यानच्या काळात याचिकाकर्त्यांशी किंवा तत्सम इतर शिक्षकांशी संपर्क साधून अर्थपूर्ण चर्चा करण्याचा कार्यक्रम हाती घेणे, याबाबतची शक्यता संघटनेच्या लक्षात आली. नेट-सेट मुक्त शिक्षकांना जुनीच पेंशन योजना लागू करण्याबाबत एक विशेष अनुमती याचिका मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने फेटाळून लावल्यानंतरसुद्धा तत्सम प्रकरणी दुसरी व तिसरी विशेष अनुमती याचिका उच्च शिक्षण विभागाने मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयात दाखल केली होती. हा अनुभव संघटनेच्या गाठीशी होताच. तेथे या तीनही याचिका फेटाळण्यात आल्या हा भाग अलाहिदा.

३५. दरम्यानच्या काळात या प्रकरणी मा.उच्च व सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने दिलेला निर्णय फक्त याचिकाकर्त्यांपुरताच लागू करावयाचा की, सरसकट (in rem) लागू करावयाचा याबाबतचा शासनाचा निर्णय झालेला नाही, असे नमूद करणारे कॅबिनेट मंत्र्यांनी दिनांक ९ जून २०२२ रोजी लिहिलेले एक पत्र (161/2022) संघटनेच्या पाहण्यात आले. या पत्रात स्पष्टपणे “केंद्रशासनाने दि. ०३.०२.२०२१ रोजीच्या ज्ञापनान्वये श्री.अध्यमपेरुमल यांच्या व्यक्तिगत प्रकरणी

झालेला निर्णय हा in rem (सर्वांना लागू करण्याबाबत) बाबतचा प्रश्न अजून Open असल्याचे नमूद केले आहे. तसेच केंद्रशासनाने दि. २४.०६.२०२१ रोजी ज्ञापनान्वये याबाबतच्या अन्य प्रकरणी मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने केंद्रीय प्रशासकीय न्यायाधिकरणाच्या आदेशास स्थगिती दिल्याचे नमूद केलेले आहे.” असे नमूद होते.

३६. अशा परिस्थितीमध्ये या याचिकेमध्ये सहभागी असलेल्या काही वरिष्ठ महाविद्यालयातील व काही कनिष्ठ महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक यांची एक बैठक संघटनेच्या कार्यालयात शिक्षक भवन, संत गाडगे बाबा अमरावती विद्यापीठ, अमरावती येथे शनिवार, दिनांक ३ डिसेंबर २०२२ रोजी दुपारी ४.०० वाजता बोलाविण्यात आली होती. (163/2022) या सभेमध्ये याबाबतची उच्च शिक्षण विभागातील व उच्च शिक्षण संचालनालयातील अधिकारी कशा बऱ्यावाईट मार्गाचा वापर करित आहेत ही बाब विचारात घेऊन ठामपणे कोणत्याही अभद्र मार्गाचा वापर न करता भद्र मार्गानेच प्रश्न पुढे न्यावयाचा असा निर्णय घेण्यात आला.

३७. या सभेनंतर संघटनेतर्फे पुढील कारवाई तातडीने हाती घेण्यात आली. अवमान याचिका दाखल करण्यापूर्वीची वैधानिक प्रक्रिया म्हणून ‘नोटीस’ देण्याची कारवाई पूर्ण करण्याची संघटनेच्या अधिवक्त्यांना विनंती करण्यात आली. त्याप्रमाणे संघटनेचे अधिवक्ता श्री.अशफाक शेख यांनी दिनांक ४ जानेवारी २०२३ रोजी अशी नोटीस कायदेशीर मार्ग बजावली.

३८. मा.उच्च न्यायालयाचा निर्णय आपल्या बाजूने झाला तरी अनेक प्रकरणातील याचिकाकर्ते सर्वोच्च न्यायालयातील ‘महाग कटकटी’ नकोत म्हणून ‘स्वस्त वाटाघाटी’साठी तयार होतात. आतापर्यंतचा अनुभव लक्षात घेता या संघटनेच्या प्रकरणात तशी शक्यता अजिबात नाही याची उच्च शिक्षण विभागाला व संचालनालयाला खात्री असल्यामुळे संघटनेच्या अधिवक्त्यांची नोटीस मिळाल्यानंतर पुढील कारवाई खूपच वेगाने पार पडली असे दिसून येते. याची मुख्य कारणे दोन. एक म्हणजे या प्रकरणी अवमान याचिका दाखल होणारच याबाबतची खात्री व दुसरे म्हणजे तत्सम प्रकरणी सर्वोच्च न्यायालयात यापूर्वीच निर्णय झालेला असल्याने तेथे आपली नाचक्कीच होणार याविषयीची खात्री.

३९. संघटनेच्या अधिवक्त्यांनी दिनांक ४ जानेवारी २०२३ रोजी दिलेली कायदेशीर नोटीस याच अंकात पृष्ठ २२ वर, त्यानंतर महाराष्ट्र शासनाच्या उच्च व तंत्रशिक्षण विभागाने १६ जानेवारी २०२३ रोजी काढलेले आदेश पृष्ठ २४ वर, त्यानंतर उच्च शिक्षण संचालकांनी दिनांक १७ जानेवारी २०२३ रोजी काढलेले आदेश पृष्ठ २५ वर व सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, अमरावती विभाग, अमरावती यांनी काढलेले दिनांक १९ जानेवारी २०२३ रोजीचे आदेश पृष्ठ २६ वर प्रसृत करण्यात आलेले आहेत. संघटनेच्या पातळीवर आता हे प्रकरण बंद करण्यात येत आहे.

That, you are not laymen and being highly placed officers have great responsibilities in the State and hence expected to follow the rules of law, binding precedents and also duty bound to avoid unnecessary litigations before the Courts.

(See Para 5 of the Legal Notice on page 22 & 23 of this Bulletin)

महाराष्ट्र शासन राजपत्र : असाधारण भाग आठ : असाधारण क्रमांक ६ : प्राधिकृत प्रकाशन

वर्ष ९, अंक ४

बुधवार, जानेवारी ११, २०२३/पौष २१ शके १९४४

पृष्ठे ७, किंमत : रुपये २७.००

महाराष्ट्र विधानमंडळाचे अधिनियम व राज्यपालांनी प्रख्यापित केलेले अध्यादेश व केलेले विनियम आणि विधी व न्याय विभागाकडून आलेली विधेयके (इंग्रजी अनुवाद)

In pursuance of clause (3) of article 348 of the Constitution of India, the following translation in English of the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) and Maharashtra Public Universities (Amendment) Act, 2022 (Mah. Act No. VI of 2023), is hereby published under the authority of the Governor.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Maharashtra,

SATISH WAGHOLE,

I/c. Secretary (Legislation) to Government, Law and Judiciary Department.

MAHARASHTRA ACT NO. VI OF 2023.

(First published, after having received the assent of the Governor in the "Maharashtra Governments Gazette", on the 11th January 2023).

AN ACT

further to amend the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University Act, 1989, the Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) Act, 1997 and the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016.

WHEREAS both Houses of the State Legislature were not in session;

AND WHEREAS the Governor of Maharashtra was satisfied that circumstances existed which rendered it necessary for him to take immediate action further to amend the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University Act, 1989, (Mah. XX of 1989.) the Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) Act, 1997 (Mah. XXXIII of 1997.) and the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016, (Mah. VI of 2017.) for the purposes hereinafter appearing ; and, therefore, promulgated the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) and Maharashtra Public Universities (Amendment) Ordinance, 2022 (Mah. Ord. XIII of 2022), on the 24th November 2022 ; (Mah. Ord. XIII of 2022.)

AND WHEREAS, it is expedient to replace the said

Ordinance by an Act of the State Legislature ; it is hereby enacted in the Seventy-third Year of the Republic of India as follows :-

CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARY.

Short title and commencement.

1. (1) This Act may be called the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) and Maharashtra Public Universities (Amendment) Act, 2022. (2) It shall be deemed to have come into force on the 24th November 2022.

CHAPTER II

AMENDMENTS TO THE YASHWANTRAO CHAVAN MAHARASHTRA OPEN UNIVERSITY ACT, 1989.

Amendment of section 10 of Mah. XX of 1989.

2. In section 10 of the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University Act, 1989 (Mah. XX of 1989.) (hereinafter, in this Chapter, referred to as "the Open

LEGAL NOTICE ISSUED ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS

ASHFAQUE I. SHEIKH, Advocate, High Court

Office : 2-D, Achraj Tower-1, Chhaoni Square, Sadar, Nagpur

Mob. 09130840814, 09420040814 Email : advaishaikh@gmail.com

NOTICE

To,

(1) The Principal Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department of the State of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

(2) Joint Director of Higher Education, Amravati Division, Amravati.

(3) Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare, Amravati Division, Amravati.

Under the instructions and authority given by my clients Dr. Prakash Manikrao Tayde & 20 Others (list enclosed) I am serving you with the following notice.

1. That the issue in respect of entitlement of last notional increments to the teachers who have retired on 30th June is settled by the Hon'ble High Court and by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore on 22.09.2022, the Hon'ble Nagpur Bench of Bombay High Court after hearing in detail was pleased to allow the petition of my clients bearing W.P. No. 1537/2021 and directed you to take all necessary steps for granting notional increments in their favour within a period of two months and further held that my clients would also be entitled to receive all consequential benefits. That relevant operative part of the order is as under;

"5. We direct the Respondents to take all necessary steps for granting notional increments to the Petitioners

within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment.

6. The Petitioners would be entitled to receive all consequential benefits accordingly."

2. That you are well aware of the above said order and directions therein as you have represented and contested the matter through the Assistant Govt. Pleader. Therefore, after the specific directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court you are duty bound to comply with it within the given stipulated time, but till today my clients have not received any amount of increment nor received the consequential benefits accrued thereof.

3. That it came to knowledge that Joint Directors office of Jalgaon Division has already released same benefits in favour of similar teachers, therefore when you have granted same benefits to several teachers, then it is very unfortunate that similarly placed teachers have not got the benefits yet despite of specific directions of the Hon'ble High Court. This is not only arbitrary but is against the principles of equality enshrined under Article 14 of the Constitution of India. Moreover this act of yours of not following the order of the Hon'ble Court amounts to wilful and deliberate contempt of the Hon'ble Court and makes you liable for the appropriate action under the provisions of the Contempt of Court Act as well as under Article 215 of the Constitution of India.

4. This issue is no more res integra as it has been settled up to and by the Superior Court of the Country, hence the authorities are bound to follow it and should avoid undue harassments of my clients. Moreover, despite of having knowledge you are not

University Act”), -

(1) in sub-section (1), -

(a) in clause (a), -

(i) for the words “a Committee” the words “a search-cum-selection committee” shall be substituted;

(ii) for sub-clause (i), the following sub-clause shall be substituted, namely :-

“(i) a member nominated by the Chancellor, who shall be a person of eminence in the sphere of higher education and shall be either an eminent scholar of national repute or a recipient of Padma Award in the field of education;”;

(iii) after sub-clause (iii), the following sub-clause shall be inserted, namely: -

“(iv) a member to be nominated by the Chairman, University Grants Commission;”;

(b) for clause (c), the following clause shall be substituted, namely : -

“(c) The members nominated on the committee shall be persons who are not connected in any manner with the University or any college or any recognized institution of the University;”;

(c) in clause (d), the word “three” shall be deleted ;

(2) in sub-section (1D), -

(a) for clause (a), the following clauses shall be substituted, namely : -

“(a) be a person possessing highest level of competence, integrity, morals and institutional commitment ;

(a-1) be a distinguished academician, with a minimum of ten years of experience as a Professor in any university or ten years of experience in a reputed research or academic administrative organisation with proof of having demonstrated academic leadership;”;

(b) in clause (d), for the words “educational qualifications” the words “additional educational qualifications” shall be substituted;

(3) after sub-section (1F), the following sub-section

complying with the directions of the Hon’ble Court. Therefore, it seems that you are playing with the Hon’ble Court and thinking yourselves above the Courts and the law. This act of clear disobedience is nothing but an act of aggravation of the contempt.

5. That, you are not laymen and being highly placed officers have great responsibilities in the State and hence expected to follow the rules of law, binding precedents and also duty bound to avoid unnecessary litigations before the Courts. You are well aware about the consequences of disobedience of the orders of contempt proceedings on your careers, therefore this notice is issued to you in order to bring to your notices the contempt committed by you and to grant you an opportunity to correct yourselves.

6. Therefore, by this notice you are called upon to follow the orders and directions of the Hon’ble Court dated 22.09.2022 as stated above and release the notional increments along with consequential benefits in favour of my clients positively within 07 days of receipt of this notice, otherwise I am having standing instructions to initiate appropriate legal action against you more specifically under the provisions of the Contempt of Court Act and in that event you shall be responsible for the costs and consequences arising thereof.

Encl : (i) Order of the Hon’ble High Court dt. 22.09.2022 **(ii)** Chart showing names of my clients (Petitioners)

Nagpur.

(ASHFAQUE I. SHEIKH)

Date : 04.01.2023.

Advocate, High Court

Office : 2-D, Achraj Tower-1, Chhaoni Square, Sadar, Nagpur

Mob. 09130840814, 09420040814 Email : advaishaikh@gmail.com

shall be inserted, namely : -

“(1G) If a person selected by the Chancellor does not take over the charge of the post of Vice-Chancellor, the Chancellor may select another suitable person from the remaining persons from the panel or he may call for a fresh panel either from the same committee or after constitution of a new committee for the purpose, from such new committee.”.

Substitution of section 10A of Mah. XX of 1989.

3. For section 10A of the Open University Act, the following section shall be substituted, namely :-

Pro-ViceChancellor.

“**10A.** It shall be the prerogative of the Vice-Chancellor to recommend a person to be the Pro-Vice-Chancellor to the Board of Management. The Board of Management shall, on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor, appoint a Pro-Vice-Chancellor for the University. The Pro-Vice-Chancellor shall be appointed for such terms and on such emoluments and other conditions of services and shall exercise such powers and perform such functions, as may be prescribed by the Statutes.”.

CHAPTER III

AMENDMENTS TO THE KAVI KULAGURU KALIDAS SANSKRIT VISHVAVIDYALAYA (UNIVERSITY) ACT, 1997.

Amendment of section 12 of Mah. XXXIII of 1997.

4. In section 12 of the Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvaavidyalaya (University) Act, 1997 (*Mah. XXXIII of 1997.*) (hereinafter, in this Chapter, referred to as “the Sanskrit Vishvaavidyalaya (University) Act”), -

(1) in sub-section (1), -

(a) in clause (a), -

(i) for the words “a Committee” the words “a search-cum-selection committee” shall be substituted;

(ii) for sub-clause (i), the following sub-clause shall be substituted, namely :-

“(i) a member nominated by the Kuladhipati, who shall be a person of eminence in the sphere of higher education and shall be either an eminent scholar of national repute or a recipient of Padma Award in the field of education;”;

(iii) after sub-clause (iii), the following sub-clause shall be inserted, namely :-

(iv) a member nominated by the Chairman, University Grants Commission;”;

(b) for clause (c), the following clause shall be substituted, namely :-

“(c) The members nominated on the committee shall be persons who are not connected in any manner with the university or any college or any recognised institution of the university;”;

(c) in clause (d), the word “three” shall be deleted;

(2) in sub-section (3A),-

(a) for clause (a), the following clauses shall be substituted, namely :-

“(a) be a person possessing highest level of competence, integrity, morals and institutional commitment;

(a-1) be a distinguished academician, with a minimum of ten years of experience as a Professor in any University or ten years of experience in a reputed research or academic administrative organisation with proof of having demonstrated academic leadership;”;

(b) in clause (d), for the words “educational qualifications” the words “additional educational qualifications” shall be substituted;

(3) in sub-section (4),-

(a) before the existing proviso, the following proviso

shall be inserted, namely :-

“Provided that, if a person selected by the *Kuladhipati* does not take over the charge of the post of the *Kulaguru*, the *Kuladhipati* may select another suitable person from the remaining persons from the panel or he may call for a fresh panel either from the same committee or after constitution of a new committee for the purpose, from such new committee.”;

(b) in the existing proviso, for the words “Provided, however, that” the words “Provided further that,” shall be substituted.

Amendment of section 13 of Mah. XXXIII of 1997.

5. In section 13 of the Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) Act, for sub-section (1), the following sub-section shall be substituted, namely :-

“(1) It shall be the prerogative of the *Kulaguru* to recommend a person to be the *Sama-Kulaguru* to the *Vyavasthapana Parishad*. The *Vyavasthapana Parishad* shall, on the recommendation of *Kulaguru*, appoint a *Sama-Kulaguru* for the university.”.

CHAPTER IV

AMENDMENTS TO THE MAHARASHTRA PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES ACT, 2016.

Amendment of section 11 of Mah. VI of 2017.

6. In section 11 of the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016 (*Mah. VI of 2017.*) (hereinafter, in this Chapter, referred to as “the Public Universities Act”),-

(1) in sub-section (3),-

(a) in clause (a),-

(i) for the words “a committee” the words “a search-cumselection committee” shall be substituted;

(ii) for sub-clause (i), the following sub-clause shall be substituted, namely :-

“(i) a member nominated by the Chancellor, who shall be a person of eminence in the sphere of higher education and shall be either an eminent scholar of national repute or a recipient of Padma Award in the field of education;”;

(iii) after sub-clause (iii), the following sub-clause shall be inserted, namely :-

“(iv) a member nominated by the Chairman, University Grants Commission;”;

(b) for clause (c), the following clause shall be substituted, namely :-

“(c) The members nominated on the committee shall be persons who are not connected in any manner with the university concerned or any college or any recognized institution of that university;”;

(c) in clause (d), the word “three” shall be deleted;

(d) in clause (f),-

(i) for sub-clause (i), the following sub-clauses shall be substituted, namely :-

“(i) be a person possessing highest level of competence, integrity, morals and institutional commitment ;

(i-a) be a distinguished academician, with a minimum of ten years of experience as a Professor in any University or ten years of experience in a reputed research or academic administrative organisation with proof of having demonstrated academic leadership ;”;

(ii) in sub-clause (iv), for the words “educational

न्यायालयीन प्रकरण तात्काळ/कालमर्यादा

महाराष्ट्र शासन : उच्च व तंत्र शिक्षण विभाग

मादाम कामा मार्ग, हुतात्मा राजगुरु चौक, मंत्रालय, मुंबई-४०० ०३२

दुरध्वनी क्र. ०२२-२२८२०३०४ Email ID : mashi6-hted@mah.gov.in

क्रमांक : न्यायाप्र ११२१/प्र.क्र.२२७/मशि-६ दिनांक : १६ जानेवारी, २०२३

प्रति,

संचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, महाराष्ट्र राज्य, पुणे

विषय : मा. उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ नागपूर येथे दाखल याचिका क्र. १५३७/२०२१, श्री.प्रकाश माणिकराव तायडे व इतर विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन व इतर.

संदर्भ : (१) मा.उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ नागपूर यांचे या.क्र. १५३७/२०२१ मधील दि. २२.०९.२०२२ चे आदेश (२) संचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, पुणे यांचे दि. ०२.१२.२०२२ चे पत्र.

३० जून या दिनांकास विविध वर्षामध्ये सेवानिवृत्त झालेल्या, डॉ.प्रकाश माणिकराव तायडे व इतर २० अशा एकूण २१ याचिकाकर्त्यांनी ०१ जुलैची वार्षिक वेतनवाढ मंजूर करून सेवानिवृत्तीवेतन सुधारित करण्यासाठी मा.उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ नागपूर येथे दाखल केलेल्या रिट याचिका क्र. १५३७/२०२१ मध्ये न्यायालयाने दि. २२.०९.२०२२ रोजी खालीलप्रमाणे आदेश दिले आहेत.

2. *Mr. Firdos Mirza, learned counsel for the Petitioners states that facts of this Petition are identical to the facts of Writ Petition No.5864 of 2019 and therefore, this Petition be allowed on similar lines as Writ Petition No.5864 of 2019, which was decided on 2nd March, 2022.*

3. *Mr. K. L. Dharmadhikari, learned Addl.G.P. appears for respondents does not dispute the aforesaid position.*

4. *The Writ Petition is, therefore, allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).*

5. *We direct the Respondents to take all necessary steps for granting notional annual increments to the Petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment.*

6. *The Petitioners would be entitled to receive all consequential benefits accordingly.*

Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

२. उपरोक्त प्रस्तावासंदर्भात आपणास कळविण्यात येते की, शासनपत्र क्रमांक : न्यायाप्र-११२१/प्र.क्र.२२५/मशि-६ दि. १८.०८.२०२२ अन्वये श्री.प्रकाश तुळशिराम चौधरी विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन याचिका क्र. ६३९६/२०२० मध्ये मा.उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद यांनी दि. २४.०६.२०२१ रोजी दिलेल्या आदेशांचे तसेच उच्च शिक्षण, जळगाव विभागातील या समविषयीच्या अन्य आठ न्यायालयीन प्रकरणात मा.न्यायालयाने दिलेल्या निर्णयाचे अनुपालन करण्याबाबत आपणास सूचित करण्यात आले होते. तसेच आदेशाचे अनुपालन करण्याबाबत दि. ०२.०९.२०२२ च्या पत्रान्वये आपणास सूचित करण्यात आले आहे. तसेच डॉ.अरविंद दयाराम पाटील व इतर (श्री.दिलीप यशवंतराव जाधव व श्री.संभाजीराव आत्माराम सोनवणे) यांनी समान विषयाबाबत मा.उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद येथे रिट याचिका क्र. ६३८९/२०२० मध्ये न्यायालयाने दि. ०५.०८.२०२२ रोजी दिलेल्या आदेशाचे अनुपालन करण्याबाबत दि. १७.१०.२०२२ च्या पत्रान्वये आपणास कळविण्यात आले आहे.

३. विषयांकीत प्रकरणेही या प्रकरणांसारखेच असून सदर प्रकरणेही मा.न्यायालयाने दिनांक २२.०९.२०२२ रोजी दिलेल्या आदेशानुसार पुढील कार्यवाही करण्यात यावी व केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा अहवाल शासनास सादर करण्यात यावा.

(अमोल उगलमुगले)
कक्ष अधिकारी, महाराष्ट्र शासन

प्रत : आवश्यक त्या तात्काळ कार्यवाहीसाठी अत्रेपित - सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, अमरावती विभाग, अमरावती

qualifications" the words "additional educational qualifications" shall be substituted;

(2) in sub-section (4),-

(a) before the existing proviso, the following proviso shall be inserted, namely :-

"Provided that, if a person selected by the Chancellor does not take over the charge of the post of the Vice-Chancellor, the Chancellor may select another suitable person from the remaining persons from the panel or he may call for a fresh panel either from the same committee or after constitution of a new committee for the purpose, from such new committee:";

(b) in the existing proviso, for the words "Provided that," the words "Provided further that," shall be substituted.

Amendment of section 13 of Mah. VI of 2017.

7. In section 13 of the Public Universities Act, for sub-section (6), the following sub-section shall be substituted, namely :-

"(6) It shall be the prerogative of the Vice-Chancellor to recommend a person to be the Pro-Vice-Chancellor to the Management Council. The Management Council shall, on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor, appoint a Pro-Vice-Chancellor for the university."

Amendment of section 109 of Mah. VI of 2017.

8. In section 109 of the Public Universities Act,-

(1) in sub-section (3), after clause (g), for the second proviso, the following proviso shall be substituted, namely :-

"Provided further that, with a view to extend the dates of making application for seeking Letter of Intent for

opening new college or institution of higher learning, scrutiny of application by the Board of Deans and forwarding the same to the State Government and grant of Letter of Intent by the State Government, for the academic year 2023-2024, the day or dates referred to in clauses (a), (c) and (d) of sub-section (3) as specified in column (2) of the Table given below, shall be read as day or dates as provided in column (3) of the said Table :-

TABLE

Clauses	Day or dates provided in existing provision	Day or dates provided for Academic Year 2023-24
(1)	(2)	(3)
(a)	before the last day of September of the year preceding the year in which the Letter of Intent is sought.	on or before 15th January 2023
(c)	on or before 30th of November of the year in which such application is received by the university.	on or before 28th February 2023
(d)	on or before 31st January of the immediately following year after the recommendations of the university.	on or before 1st April 2023.;"

(2) in sub-section (4), after clause (d), for the second proviso, the following proviso shall be substituted, namely :-

"Provided further that, with a view to extend the date of making application for seeking permission to start a new course of study, subjects, faculties, additional divisions or satellite centers for the academic year 2023-24, the day or date referred to in clause (a) of sub-section (4) as specified in column (2) of the Table given below, shall be read as day or date as provided in column (3) of the said Table :-

TABLE

Clause	Day or date provided in existing provision	Day or date provided for Academic Year 2023-24
(1)	(2)	(3)
(a)	before the last day of September of the year preceding the year in which the permission is sought.	on or before 15th January 2023

CHAPTER V

MISCELLANEOUS.

Repeal of Mah. Ord. XIII of 2022 and saving.

9. (1) The Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) and Maharashtra Public Universities (Amendment) Ordinance, 2022, (Mah. Ord. XIII of 2022.) is hereby repealed.

(2) Notwithstanding such repeal, anything done or any action taken (including any notification or order issued) under the corresponding provisions of the Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University Act, 1989, (Mah. XX of 1989.) the Kavi Kulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya (University) Act, 1997 (Mah. XXXIII of 1997.) and the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016, (Mah. VI of 2017.) as amended by the said Ordinance, shall be deemed to have been done, taken or, as the case may be, issued under the corresponding provisions of the said Acts, as amended by this Act.

महाराष्ट्र शासन : उच्च शिक्षण संचालनालय

मध्यवर्ती इमारत, महाराष्ट्र राज्य पुणे - ४११ ००१

Web : www.dhepune.gov.in Email : vishi.dhepune@nic.in

फोन नं. ०२०/२६१२२११९, २६०५१५१२, २६१३०६२७, २६१२४६३९

फॅक्स नं. ०२०/२६११११५३

क्रमांक : उशिसं/या.क्र.१५७३/२०२१/अवि/विशि-१/५२२

दिनांक : १७ जानेवारी २०२३

न्यायालयीन प्रकरण/अतितात्काळ

प्रति,

विभागीय सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, अमरावती

विषय : याचिका क्रमांक १५७३/२०२१ श्री.डॉ.प्रकाश माणिकराव तायडे व इतर विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन व इतर

संदर्भ : (१) संचालनालयाचे समक्रमांक दिनांक ०२ डिसेंबर २०२२ रोजीचे पत्र (२) शासनाचे क्रमांक : न्यायाप्र ११२१/प्र.क्र.२२७/मशि-६ दि. १६ जानेवारी २०२३ रोजीचे पत्र

उपरोक्त विषयास व संदर्भीय पत्रास अनुसरून आपणास कळविण्यात येते की, ३० जून या दिनांकास विविध वर्षामध्ये सेवानिवृत्त झालेल्या डॉ.प्रकाश माणिकराव तायडे व इतर २० अशा एकूण २१ याचिकाकर्त्यांनी ०१ जुलैची वार्षिक वेतनवाढ मंजूर करून सेवानिवृत्तीवेतन सुधारित करण्यासाठी मा.उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ नागपूर येथे दाखल केलेल्या याचिका क्र. १५३७/२०२१ मध्ये न्यायालयाने दि. २२.०९.२०२२ रोजी दिलेल्या आदेशाच्या अनुषंगाने शासनस्तरावरून उचित आदेश होणेकरिता संचालनालयाच्या संदर्भाकित पत्रानुसार विनंती करण्यात आलेली होती. त्यास अनुसरून शासनाचे संदर्भाकित पत्र क्र. ०२ अन्वये सूचना प्राप्त झालेल्या आहेत तरी शासनपत्रात नमूद केलेनुसार पुढील योग्य ती कार्यवाही तात्काळ करण्यात यावी व केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा अहवाल शासनास व संचालनालयास तात्काळ सादर करण्यात यावा. (सोबत शासनपत्राची प्रत जोडली आहे)

(सुयश दुसाने)

प्रशासन अधिकारी, उच्च शिक्षण, महाराष्ट्र राज्य, पुणे

प्रत : मा.प्रधान सचिव, उच्च व तंत्रशिक्षण विभाग, मंत्रालय विस्तार भवन, मुंबई यांना उचित कार्यवाहीस्तव

SURVIVAL OF THE RICHEST

In India, 5% own more than 60% of country's wealth : Oxfam report

The report titled '**Survival of the Richest**' further said that if India's billionaires are taxed once at 2 per cent on their entire wealth, it would support the requirement of Rs 40,423 crore for the nutrition of malnourished in the country for the next three years.

Oxfam India's report on inequality in India released on Monday finds that just 5 per cent of Indians own more than 60 per cent of the country's wealth, while the bottom 50 per cent of the population possess only 3 per cent of the wealth.

The report, "**Survival of the Richest: The India story**", also says that between 2012 and 2021, 40 per cent of the wealth created in India has gone to just 1 per cent of the population and only a mere 3 per cent of the wealth has gone to the bottom 50 per cent, **adding that the total number of billionaires in India increased from 102 in 2020 to 166 billionaires in 2022.**

The combined wealth of India's 100 richest has touched \$660 billion (Rs 54.12 lakh crore) — an amount that could fund the entire Union Budget for more than 18 months, the report stated.

"While the country suffers from multiple crises like hunger, unemployment, inflation and health calamities, India's billionaires are doing extremely well for themselves. The poor, meanwhile, in India are unable to afford even basic necessities to survive. The number of hungry Indians increased to 350 million in 2022 from 190 million in 2018. The widespread hunger is resulting in 65 per cent of the

deaths among children under the age of 5 in 2022, according to the Union Government's submission to the Supreme Court," Amitabh Behar, CEO of Oxfam India, said.

The wealth of the top 10 richest in India stands at Rs 27.52 lakh crore (\$335.7 billion) an increase of around \$110 billion which is an 32.8 per cent rise from 2021.

"Following the pandemic in 2019, the bottom 50 per cent of the population have continued to see their wealth chipped away. By 2020, their income share was estimated to have fallen to only 13 per cent of the national income and have less than 3 per cent of the wealth. Its impact has been exceptionally poor diet, increase in debt and deaths. This is in stark contrast to the top 30 per cent, who own more than 90 per cent of the wealth, with the top 10 per cent owning more than 80 per cent of the wealth concentrated in the top three deciles. The wealthiest 10 per cent own more than 72 per cent of wealth, and the top 5 per cent own nearly 62 per cent of the total wealth, which is higher than the pre-pandemic years (2018-19), and the top 1 per cent own more than 13 times the wealth owned by the bottom half of the society, with nearly 40.6 per cent of the total wealth in India," finds the report.

Oxfam adds that India has the world's highest number of poor at 228.9 million. On the other hand, the total number of billionaires in India increased from 102 in 2020 to 166 billionaires in 2022.

"Before the pandemic, in 2019, the Central

महाराष्ट्र शासन : कार्यालय, सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, अमरावती विभाग,

व्ही.एम.व्ही. परीसर, अमरावती - ४४४६०४ दुरध्वनी क्रमांक : ०७२१-२५३१२३५ फॅक्स : ०७२१-२५३१४५७

Email : jdheamt@yahoo.com Web : www.jdheamravati.org.in

क्रमांक : ससं/उशि/अमती/से.नि./२०२२/४४८ दिनांक : १९.०१.२०२३

प्रति,

प्राचार्य, श्री. शिवाजी विज्ञान महाविद्यालय, अमरावती • प्राचार्य, अमोलकचंद महाविद्यालय, यवतमाळ • प्राचार्य, जे.डी.पाटील सांगळुदकर महाविद्यालय, दर्यापूर • प्राचार्य, श्री. शिवाजी कला व वाणिज्य महाविद्यालय, अमरावती • प्राचार्य, आर.आर.लाहोटी विज्ञान महाविद्यालय, मोर्शी • प्राचार्य, विद्याभारती महाविद्यालय, अमरावती • प्राचार्य, श्रीमती. साळुंकाबाई राऊत कला व वाणिज्य महाविद्यालय, वनोजा • प्राचार्य, जे.डी.पाटील सांगळुदकर महाविद्यालय, दर्यापूर • प्राचार्य, श्री.बाबासाहेब धावेकर कला व वाणिज्य महाविद्यालय, रिसोड • प्राचार्य, गणित विभाग, संत गाडगे बाबा अमरावती विद्यापीठ, अमरावती • प्राचार्य, वी.पी.नॅशनल इन्स्टिट्यूट ऑफ सोशियल वर्क, नागपूर • प्राचार्य, अमोलकचंद महाविद्यालय, यवतमाळ • प्राचार्य, जिजामाता महाविद्यालय, बुलढाणा • प्राचार्य, मुंगसाजी महाराज विद्यालय, दारव्हा • प्राचार्य, जिजामाता महाविद्यालय, बुलढाणा • प्राचार्य, श्री. कनैयालाल रामचंद्र इन्व्हानी, कारंजा लाड • प्राचार्य, राजे छत्रपती कला महाविद्यालय, बुलढाणा •

विषय : मा.उच्च न्यायालय खंडपीठ नागपूर येथील याचिका क्र. १५३७/२०२१ (श्री.प्रकाश माणिकराव तायडे व इतर विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन व इतर)

संदर्भ : (१) मा.उच्च न्यायालय खंडपीठ नागपूर येथील याचिका क्र. १५३७/२०२१ मध्ये दिलेल्या आदेश क्र. DD NO.५५८८/R-२/२२, दिनांक ०६.१०.२२ (२) शासन पत्र क्र. न्यायाप्र ११२१/प्र.क्र. २२७/मशि-६, दिनांक १६.०१.२०२३ (३) संचालनालय पत्र क्र.उशिंसं/या.क्र.१५३७/२०२१/अवि/विशि-१/५२२, दिनांक १७.०१.२३

उपरोक्त विषयास अनुसरून आपणास कळविण्यात येते की, ३० जून या दिनांकास विविध वर्षामध्ये सेवानिवृत्त झालेल्या डॉ.प्रकाश माणिकराव तायडे व इतर २० अशा एकूण २१ याचिकाकर्त्यांनी १ जुलैची वार्षिक वेतनवाढ मंजूर करून सेवानिवृत्तीवेतन सुधारित करण्यासाठी मा.उच्च न्यायालय खंडपीठ नागपूर यांच्याकडे याचिका दाखल केली होती.

न्यायालयाने याचिकेत दिनांक २२.०९.२०२२ रोजी दिलेल्या निर्णय तसेच शासनाने संदर्भ पत्र क्र.२ मध्ये कळविल्यानुसार आणि संचालनालयांनी संदर्भ पत्र क्र. ३ मध्ये कळविल्याप्रमाणे आपल्या महाविद्यालयातील सोबत जोडलेल्या यादीतील प्राध्यापकांनी सेवानिवृत्तीच्या दिनांक ३० जून रोजी एक वेतनवाढ मंजूर करून सुधारित वेतन निश्चिती प्रपत्र व सेवापुस्तकासह प्रस्ताव दिनांक २०.०१.२०२३ रोजी या कार्यालयात सादर करावा आणि वेतन निश्चिती झाल्यावर सुधारित सेवानिवृत्ती प्रकरण या कार्यालयास तात्काळ सादर करावे. न्यायालयाचे निर्णयाची अंमलबजावणी तातडीने करावयाची असल्याने प्रथम प्राधान्याने कार्यवाही करावी.

(डॉ.नलिनी टेंभेकर)

सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण,
अमरावती विभाग, अमरावती

टीप : या आदेशासोबत २१ याचिकाकर्त्यांची नावे त्यांच्या पत्त्यासह नमूद केलेली आहेत. ती यापूर्वीच प्रसृत (159/2022) केलेली असल्यामुळे येथे मुद्रित केलेली नाहीत.

The wealth of the top 10 richest in India stands at Rs 27.52 lakh crore (\$335.7 billion) an increase of around \$110 billion which is an 32.8 per cent rise from 2021.

(Oxfam report)

Government reduced the corporate tax slabs from 30 per cent to 22 per cent, with newly incorporated companies paying a lower percentage (15 per cent). This new taxation policy resulted in a total loss of INR 1.84 lakh crore and had a significant role in the 10 per cent downward revision of tax revenue estimates in 2019-20. **To increase revenue, the Union Government adopted a policy of hiking the Goods and Services Tax (GST) and excise duties on diesel and petrol while simultaneously cutting down on exemptions.** The indirect nature of both the GST and fuel taxes make them regressive, which invariably burdens the most marginalized," it has said.

The Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation reported that the all-India inflation rates based on both CPI (Consumer Price Index) (General) and CFPI (Consumer Food Price Index) were consistently higher in rural India (7.56 per cent than urban India (7.27 per cent) in September 2022.

"Though overall inflation declined in October, the gap between rural and urban inflation only widened, reaching nearly 2.5 times the gap in September 2022. Moreover, the weightage for "food products" in the inflation calculation is nearly double in rural India compared to urban India reflecting how food inflation in rural India has primarily driven the

average increase in prices of commodities," it added.

In 2020-21, the projected revenue foregone of the government in the form of incentives and tax exemptions to corporates is INR 1,03,285.54 crore.

Oxfam India has said that the failure to tax rich people and corporations fairly exacerbates inequality as governments then resort to taxing the rest of society more. Heavy reliance on consumption taxes like VAT "increases inequality and is regressive in nature because poor people pay a larger share of their incomes".

The bottom 50 per cent of the population at an All-India level pays six times more on indirect taxation as a percentage of income compared to top 10 per cent, it has said.

Of the total taxes collected from these food and non-food items, 64.3 per cent of the total tax is coming from the bottom 50 per cent. A little less than two-third of the total GST is coming from the bottom 50 per cent, as per estimates, one-third from middle 40 per cent and only three to four per cent from the top 10 per cent.

The Indian Express
First published on : 16-01-2023 at 09:33 IST
By: Express News Service
Davos Updated : January 16, 2023 13:21 IST

Dearness Relief Increase to 38% on Pension/Family Pension w.e.f. 1st July, 2022.

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA : FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Government Resolution No.: DRP-2023/CR. 1/SER-4

Hutatma Rajguru Chowk, Madam Cama Road, Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.

Date: 11 January, 2023.

Read - Government Resolution, Finance Department No.: DRP-2022/C.R 47/SER-4, dated 17.8.2022.

RESOLUTION

Government is pleased to decide that **the rate of dearness relief with effect from 1st July, 2022 will be revised from 34% to 38% to the State Government pensioners /family pensioners** on their Basic Pension/Family Pension. Revised dearness relief with arrears from 1st July 2022 will be payable in cash with pension / family pension of the month of January 2023.

2. It will be the responsibility of the Pension Disbursing Authority, i.e. the Pay and Accounts Officer, Mumbai/Treasury Officers, as the case may be, to calculate the quantum of dearness relief payable in each individual case.

3. Government is also pleased to direct that above decision should mutatis mutandis, apply to those pensioners including family pensioners of Recognised and Aided Educational Institutions, Agricultural /Non-Agricultural Universities and Affiliated Non-Government colleges to whom the pension scheme is made applicable..

4. In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Section 248 of the Maharashtra Zilla Parishads and Panchayat Samitis Act, 1961 (Mah.V of 1962) and of all the other powers enabling it in that behalf. Government is further pleased to decide that the above decision shall apply to the pensioners including family pensioners of Zilla Parishads.

5. The expenditure on this account should be debited to the Budget Heads to which the retirement benefits of the employees mentioned in the above paras are debited and should be met from the grants sanctioned thereunder.

6. All orders in force in regard to the payment of relief on pension sanctioned by Government from time to time will, mutatis mutandis, apply to the dearness relief now sanctioned.

7. This Government resolution of Maharashtra Government is available at the website www.maharashtra.gov.in. Reference no. for this is 202301111509219605 This order has been signed digitally.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Maharashtra.

(R.S.Ghatge)
Deputy Secretary to Government of Maharashtra

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.3916 OF 2022
WITH WP/4591/2022**

DEEPAK BHAGWANRAO CHATE AND OTHERS
VERSUS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
Mr.Dr.R.R.Deshpande h/f Ms.P.R.Deshpande, Advocate
for the petitioners. Mr.S.G.Karlekar, AGP for
respondent/State. Mr.A.G.Talhar, ASGI for respondent
No.5. Mr.G.S.Rane, Advocate for respondent No.9.
Mr.S.B.Solanke, Advocate for respondent No.8.

CORAM

RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 24, 2022

PER COURT :

1. The report of the Section Officer dated 23.06.2022 from the Writ Section indicates that notice on respondent No.5 is returned un-served for want of time as per the Bailiff Report. Affidavit in reply has not been filed by respondent Nos. 4 to 9. Re-joinder is not filed by the petitioners. As per our Court's order dated 30.03.2022 and 27.04.2022, affidavits in reply and additional affidavit had to be filed by the State Government and others.

2. The learned Advocate for the petitioners Mr.R.R.Deshpande started arguing with the Court contending that respondent No.5 Union of India, through its Cabinet Secretary, Central Secretariat Building, New Delhi, has been served with a copy of the petition paper book and yet a reply is not filed. Since he kept on repeating the said statement, the learned ASGI appeared in Court and stated that the copy of the petition paper book has still not been supplied to him by the petitioners. The learned ASGI then stated that he would appear and waive service on behalf of respondent No.5 and file an affidavit in reply within 4 (four) weeks.

3. Even on this count, Mr.Deshpande continued to raise a hue and cry in the Court room contending that he desires to file his re-joinder on or before 09.07.2022 and all the pleadings of the parties should be completed by the said date.

4. The learned ASGI stated that as the copy of the petition paper book was served upon him today in the Court hall, he needs to contact his clients in Delhi and would require at least 4 (four) weeks time to file his reply. It later on turned out that the petitioners had supplied a copy to respondent No.3 UGC and without verifying this aspect, Mr.Deshpande behaved in an unreasonable manner.

5. In this backdrop, we are granting liberty to the learned ASGI to enter an affidavit in reply with proper pagination on or before 15.07.2022.

6. As per the directions of the Hon'ble Apex Court, the State of Maharashtra shall enter an additional affidavit on or before 08.07.2022.

7. Considering the events that have unfolded as above, the petitioners would then be at liberty to file a re-joinder to the affidavits in reply filed by the respective parties, on or before 29.07.2022.

8. The daily cause list board of this Court is beyond 150 matters and on some days, it has also reached more than 300 matters. The writ petitions of the year 2022 appearing for the first time / fresh matters, are also almost 75 to 100 matters in the "Urgent Admissions Category" everyday.

9. In these circumstances, we are listing these two petitions for a final hearing at admission stage on 11.08.2022, after the Urgent Admissions board is over.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

PR : (1) P118NB2022 (2) P119NB2022 (3) P140NB2022 (3) P20NB2023

राज्य शासकीय व इतर पात्र कर्मचाऱ्यांना अनुज्ञेय महागाई भत्त्याच्या दरात दिनांक १ जुलै, २०२२ पासून सुधारणा करण्याबाबत.

महाराष्ट्र शासन : वित्त विभाग : शासननिर्णय क्रमांक : मभवा-१३२२/प्र.क्र.१७/सेवा-९

मंत्रालय, मादाम कामा रोड, हुतात्मा राजगुरु चौक, मुंबई ४०० ०३२

दिनांक : १० जानेवारी, २०२३

वाचा : (१) शासन निर्णय क्रमांक : मभवा-१३२२/प्र.क्र.१४/सेवा-९, दिनांक : ३० मार्च, २०२२ (२) भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, व्यय विभाग कार्यालयीन ज्ञापन क्रमांक : १/३/२०२२-इ.॥ (बी), दिनांक ०३ ऑक्टोबर, २०२२

शासननिर्णय

राज्य शासकीय कर्मचारी व इतर पात्र पूर्णकालिक कर्मचाऱ्यांना अनुज्ञेय महागाई भत्त्याच्या दरात सुधारणा करण्याचा प्रश्न शासनाच्या विचाराधीन होता.

२. शासन असे आदेश देत आहे की, दिनांक १ जुलै, २०२२ पासून ७ व्या वेतन आयोगानुसार सुधारित वेतनसंरचनेतील मूळ वेतनावरील अनुज्ञेय महागाई भत्त्याचा दर ३४% वरून ३८% करण्यात यावा. सदर महागाई भत्ता वाढ दिनांक १ जुलै, २०२२ ते दिनांक ३१ डिसेंबर, २०२२ या कालावधीतील थकबाकीसह माहे जानेवारी, २०२३ च्या वेतनासोबत रोखीने देण्यात यावी.

३. महागाई भत्त्याची रक्कम प्रदान करण्यासंदर्भातील विद्यमान तरतुदी व कार्यपद्धती आहे त्याचप्रकारे यापुढे लागू राहिल.

४. यावर होणारा खर्च संबंधित शासकीय कर्मचाऱ्यांचे वेतन व भत्ते ज्या लेखाशीर्षाखाली खर्ची टाकण्यात येतात, त्या लेखाशीर्षाखाली खर्ची टाकून त्याखालील मंजूर अनुदानातून भागविण्यात यावा. अनुदानप्राप्त संस्था व जिल्हा परिषद कर्मचाऱ्यांच्या बाबतीत, संबंधित प्रमुख लेखाशीर्षाखालील ज्या उप लेखाशीर्षाखाली त्यांच्या सहाय्यक अनुदानाबाबतचा खर्च खर्ची टाकण्यात येतो, त्या उप लेखाशीर्षाखाली हा खर्च खर्ची टाकण्यात यावा.

सदर शासननिर्णय महाराष्ट्र शासनाच्या www.maharashtra.gov.in या संकेतस्थळावर उपलब्ध करण्यात आला असून त्याचा संकेतांक २०२३०११०१८४३३३६२०५ असा आहे. हा आदेश डिजिटल स्वाक्षरीने साक्षात्कृत करून काढण्यात येत आहे.

महाराष्ट्राचे राज्यपाल यांच्या आदेशानुसार व नावाने

(वि.अ.धोत्रे)

उप सचिव, महाराष्ट्र शासन

PR : (1) P 16 NB 2019 (2) P 140 NB 2019 (3) P 12 NB 2020 (4) P 279 NB 2021

(5) P 100 NB 2022 (6) P 165 NB 2022 (6) P 28 NB 2023

NUTA BULLETIN (Official Journal of NAGPUR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION) **EDITOR :** Prof. Vivek S. Deshmukh, Balaji Society, Yavatmal 445 001. **PUBLISHER :** Dr. Prakash Tayade, 55, "Aai" Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Colony, Near V.M.V. Campus, Amravati 444 604 Published at NUTA Bulletin Office, Shikshak Bhavan, Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University Campus, **Amravati- 444 602. PRINTED AT** Bokey Printers, Gandhi Nagar, Amravati. (M.S) **REGD NO. MAHBIL/2001/4448** Postal Registration No. AMT/RNP/078/2021-23 (Uploaded on www.nuta.in on 00.01.2023) Price : Rs. Five / Name of the Posting office : **R.M.S. Amravati.** Date of Posting : **01.02.2023**

If Undelivered, please return to : NUTA Bulletin Office, Shikshak Bhavan, Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University Campus, Amravati- 444 602.

To,.....

.....

.....

.....