

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR**

WRIT PETITION NOS.1489/2010, 1935/2010, 1719/2010, 1725/2010, 1940/2010, 2014/2010, 2015/2010, 2016/2010,
2088/2010, 2089/2010, 2090/2010, 2091/2010, 2093/2010, 2094/2010, 2095/2010, 2096/2010,
2097/2010, 2116/2010, 2129/2010, 2211/2010, 2212/2010, 2413/2010, 5197/2009,
5209/20009, 503/2010 AND 2084/2010

WRIT PETITION NO.1489/2010 : PETITIONERS:- 1. Sudhir s/o Sharadrao Hunge Aged about 32 years, r/o At Post & Tq. Balaji Ward, Ballarpur, Dist. Chandrapur. 2. Satish s/o Jagannath Pise Aged about 33 years, At Post Neri, Tq. Chimur, Dist. Chandrapur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Chintamani College of Science, Pombhurna, District Chandrapur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioners] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.1935/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ku. Pramila D/o Domaji Bhojar, Aged about Major, Occu. Lecturer, R/o at Nimgaon, Post Pahela, Tahsil & District Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar, Nagpur. 3. Late Nirdhan Patil Waghaye, Arts, Commerce & Science College Lakhni, Tahsil Lakhni District Bhandara, Through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.1719/2010 : PETITIONER:- Anil s/o Gangaram Dodewar Aged about 30 years, r/o c/o Shri Arun Nanaji Asarwar, Model Mill Square, Ganeshpeth, Nagpur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Stree Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's Mahila Vidyalyaya, Nagpur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.1725/2010 : PETITIONER:- Raju s/o Laxman Ade, Aged about 33 years, r/o At post Wagdhara, Tah. Nagbhid, Dist. Chandrapur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The N.H. Education Society's Navjabai Hitkarini College, Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.1940/2010 : PETITIONER:- Mrs. Pournima w/o Housilal Rahangdale, Aged about 40 years, r/o At Tumsar, Post Tumsar, Triveni Pathology, Mor Market, Tal. Tumsar, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Tulsi Bahudeshiya Shikshan Sanstha, Tumsar, Arts &

Commerce College, Petrol Pump, Jawhar Nagar, N.H. No.6, Dist Bhandara, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2014/2010 : PETITIONERS:- 1. Arvind s/o Daulat Borkar, aged about 37 years, r/o Kosra, Post Konda, Tq Pauni, Dist. Bhandara. 2. Netaji s/o Vasanta Hatwar, aged about 35 years, r/o Kondha, Tq. Pauni, Dist. Bhandara. 3. Vinod s/o Ramdas Chauhan, aged about 36 years, r/o Pilandri, Post Kitali, Tq. Pauni, Dist. Bhandara. 4. Rajesh s/o Manohar Vaidya, aged about 34 years, r/o Post Asgaon, Tq. Pauni, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Gramin Shikshan Sanstha, Kondha/ Kosra through its Secretary, Ta. Pawani, Dist. Bhandara. 4. The Santaji Mahavidyalaya, Asgaon, Tal. Pavni, Dist. Bhandara, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioners] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2015/2010 : PETITIONER:- Mrs. Arti w/o Baburao Padole, Aged about 29 years, r/o c/o Pankay Talekar, Qtr. No.195, V.H. Board, Nandanwan Colony, Nagpur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Ganpatrao Thote Seva Sanstha's Purushottam Thote College of Social Work, Narsala Road, (B.O.), Post Mhalgi Nagar, Nagpur through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2016/2010 : PETITIONERS:- 1. Manmohan s/o Suryabhan Bhaisare, aged about 36 years, r/o At post Lakhni, Ward No.1, Tq. Lakhni, Dist. Bhandara. 2. Ku. Anuradha d/o Moreswar Khade, aged about 29 years, r/o Pl no.3, Ganesh Nagar, Great Nag Road, Nagpur. 3. Punyashil s/o Shaligram Tembhone, aged about 30 years, r/o At Post Channa Kodka via Navegaon Bandh, Tah. Arjuni/Mor, District Gondia. 4. Sudhakar s/o Shamrao Shende, aged about 33 years, r/o At Selotpar, Post Keasalwada, Tah. Tirora, Dist. Gondia. 5. Ganesh s/o Motiram Kapse, aged about 27 years, r/o c/o Dilip Nirwan, Lakhni Road, Tq. Lakhni, Dist Bhandara. 6. Umeshkumar s/o Pundlik Shahare, aged about 33 years, r/o c/o R.M. Ambade, Panchshil, Ward no.5, Sakoli, Tah. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Laxmi Shikshan Sanstha & Krida Mandal, Kesalwada/Wagh through its President/Secretary, Tq. Lakhni Dist. Bhandara. 4. The Laxmi Shikshan Sanstha & Krida Mandal, Kesalwada/Wagh's Late Nirdhan Patil Waghaye Arts, Commerce & Science College, Lakhni, Tah. Lakhni, Dist. Bhandara through

its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioners] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. Nos.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2088/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ms. Bhagyashree Shridharrao Gawate Aged about 33 years, r/o c/o Pramod Ragit, Panchasheel Ward no.6, Rajura, Dist. Chandrapur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Gurunanak Sewa Samiti's Guru Nanak College of Science, Ballarpur, through its Principal, Dist. Chandrapur. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2089/2010 : PETITIONERS:- 1. Ku. Smita d/o Ganesh Ambade, Aged about 31 years, r/o c/o Arts & Commerce College, Kandi, Tq. Mohadi, Dist. Bhandara. 2. Ramakant s/o Bhumeshwar Misar, Aged about 25 years, r/o c/o Maqbool Sheikh, Tq. Mohadi, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Gyan Vikas Shikshan Sanstha, Karadi, Tq. Mohadi, Dist. Bhandara through its President/Secretary. 4. The Arts & Commerce College, Karadi, Tq. Mohadi, Dist. Bhandara through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioners] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2090/2010 : PETITIONER:- Tikaram s/o Dayaram Samrit, Aged about 34 years, r/o At Post Pindkepar, Tah. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Laxmi Shikshan Sanstha & Krida Mandal, Kesalwada/Wag., Dist. Bhandara, through its President. 4. The Late Nirdhan Patil Waghaye Arts, Commerce & Science College, Ekodi, Tah. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2091/2010 : PETITIONERS:- 1. Sanjay s/o Bachchesahedb Deshmukh, aged about 38 years, r/o c/o S.M. Wadkar, At Post & Tq. Jiwati, Dist. Chandrapur. 2. Gangadhar s/o Vajinath Landge, aged about 32 years, r/o Shanti Nagar, Ward No.1, Tq. Jiwti, Dist. Chandrapur. 3. Chaturdas s/o Janba Telang, aged about 33 years, r/o Shanti Nagar, Ward No.1, Tq. Jiwti, Dist. Chandrapur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Shri Vyanktesh Bahuuddheshiya Sanstha Gadchandur, through its President Jiwti, Dist. Chandrapur. 4. The Vidarbha College of Arts, Commerce & Science, Jiwti, Tah. Jiwti, Dist. Chandrapur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioners] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2093/2010 : PETITIONERS:- Najukram s/o Dinanath Bankar, Aged about 35 years, r/o At Sasra, Post Sangadi, Tal. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Navshaktipeeth Education Society, Bhandara, through its President, Lakhni, Dist. Bhandara. 4. The Navshaktipeeth Education Society's Vidarbha Arts & Commerce College, Lakhani, Dist. Bhandara, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2094/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ku. Sheetal Ramdas Gomkar, Aged about Major, r/o c/o Deepakrao Gadiwan, "Ishwarkrupa", Near Someshwar Temple, Samadhi Road, Chandrapur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Janata Mahavidyalaya, Chandrapur, Civil Lines, Chandrapur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for

petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2095/2010 : PETITIONERS:- 1. Shrawan s/o Baliram Kapgate, aged about 35 years, r/o At Post Amgaon (Bk), Tq. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. 2. Chakradhar s/o Ganpatrao Bagade, aged about 33 years r/o At Tai/Khurd, Post Haradoli, Tq. Lakhandur, Dist. Bhandara. 3. Vijay s/o Anandr ao Darwade, aged about 36 years, r/o At Katangdhara, Post Sasara, Tq. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. 4. Ms. Karuna Vajjanath Gayakwad, aged about 35 years, r/o At Post Sakoli, Tq. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Secondary Education Society, Sakoli, Tal. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara through its Secretary. 4. The Shyamrao Babu Kapgate Arts College, Sakoli, Tal. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioners] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2096/2010 : PETITIONER:- Anant s/o Atmaram Rindhe, Aged about 30 years, r/o At Mohadi, Post Sawadad, Tah. Sindhkheda (Raja), Dist. Buldhana. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Seloo, Dist. Wardha through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2097/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ashok s/o Ramdasji Salame, Aged about 33 years, r/o At Sakharkheda, Post Dawasa, Tq. Narkhed, Dist. Nagpur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Ganpatrao Thote Seva Sanstha's Puroshottam Thote College of Social Work, Narsala Road, (B.O.), Post Mhalgi Nagar, Nagpur through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2116/2010 : PETITIONER:- Anup s/o Vinayakrao Gumble, Aged about 33 years, r/o 30, Deshpande Layout, Dastur Nagar, Amravati. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Arts, Commerce and Science College, Arvi, District Wardha through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2129/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ashwin s/o Shivcharan Meshram, Aged about 28 years, r/o At Post Jambhali/Sadak, Tq. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Secondary Education Society, Sakoli, Tal. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara, through its Secretary. 4. The Shyamrao Babu Kapgate Arts College, Sakoli, Tal. Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2211/2010 : PETITIONER:- Anand s/o Gurnomal Thadani, Aged about 46 years, r/o 102-A, Radhey Apartments, Clarke Town, Mecosabagh, Nagpur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Sindhu Mahavadiyalaya, Panchpaoli, Nagpur Dist. Nagpur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2212/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ms. Reema d/o Khialdas Kamlani, (Now Mrs. Rashi w/o Mohit Vasvani), Aged about 31 years, r/o 38, Sindhu Nagar Society, Jaripatka, Nagpur. ...**VERSUS...** **RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra

through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Sindhu Mahavadiyala, Pachpaoli, Nagpur Dist. Nagpur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2413/2010 : PETITIONER:- Ajit s/o Venkateshrao Khajgiwale, Aged about 45 years, r/o Tilak Nagar, Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur. ... **VERSUS... RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The N.H. Education Society's Nevjabai Hitkarini College, Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.5197/2009 : PETITIONER:- Sunil s/o Gajananrao Dhakulkar, a/a 34 yrs., Occ. - service, c/o S.S. Bargat, at Post Shelu – Bazar, Tq. Mangrulpir, Distt. Washim. ... **VERSUS... RESPONDENTS :-** 1. Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati, Tq. & Distt. Amravati, through its Registrar. 2. Shri V.N. Arts and Shri A.N. Commerce College, Mangrulpir, Tq. Mangrulpir, Distt. Washim, through its Principal. 3. University Grant Commission, through its Member/Secretary, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi – 110002. [Shri A.J. Thakkar, Adv. for petitioner] [Shri S.A. Marathe, Adv. for respdt. No.1] [Shri C.A. Joshi, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.5209/2009 : PETITIONER:- Prakash s/o Dhondiba Shrugare, a/a 36 yrs., Occ. - Lecturer, r/o Infront of "Bouddha Vihar" Shahapur-Mangrulpir, Tq. Mangrulpir, Distt. Washim. ... **VERSUS... RESPONDENTS :-** 1. Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati, Tq. & Distt. Amravati, through its Registrar. 2. Shri V.N. Arts and Shri A.N. Commerce College, Mangrulpir, Tq. Mangrulpir, Distt. Washim, through its Principal. 3. University Grant Commission, through its Member/Secretary, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi – 110002. [Shri A.J. Thakkar, Adv. for petitioner] [Shri S.A. Marathe, Adv. for respdt. No.1] [Shri C.A. Joshi, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.503/2010 : PETITIONER:- Gajanan s/o Bhaurao Jangale, a/a/ 37 years, Occ. - Lecturer, C/o Shri P.P. Ingole, Sanjivani Society, Bye pass road, Mangrulpir, Tq. Mangrulpir, Distt. Washim. ... **VERSUS... RESPONDENTS :-** 1. Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati, Tq. & Distt. Amravati, through its Registrar. 2. Shri V.N. Arts and Shri A.N. Commerce College, Mangrulpir, Tq. Mangrulpir, Distt. Washim, through its Principal. 3. University Grant Commission, through its Member/Secretary, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi – 110002. [Shri A.J. Thakkar, Adv. for petitioner] [Shri S.A. Marathe, Adv. for respdt. No.1] [Shri C.A. Joshi, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

WRIT PETITION NO.2084/2010 : PETITIONER:- Gajanan s/o Shyamsundar Raut, Aged about 35 years, r/o At Post Nanda, Tq. Korpana, Dist. Chandrapur. ... **VERSUS... RESPONDENTS :-** 1. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 2. The Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj, Nagpur University, Nagpur through its Registrar. 3. The Shri Vyanktesh Bahuuddheshiya Sanstha Gadchandur, through its President Jiwati Dist. Chandrapur. 4. The Vidarbha College of Arts, Commerce & Science, Jiwati, Tah. Jiwati, Dist. Chandrapur, through its Principal. [Shri A.S. Chandurkar, Adv. for petitioner] [AGP for respdt. No.1] [Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Adv. for respdt. No.2]

CORAM

S.A. BOBDE AND A.B. CHAUDHARI, JJ.

Date of reserving the judgment :- 14/17.06.2010

Date of pronouncing the judgment :- 02.07.2010

JUDGMENT

(PER : A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.)

1. Heard. Rule. Rule returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of learned Counsel for the rival parties.

2. All these writ petitions are being disposed of

by this common judgment and order in view of the common question of law involved therein. The question of law that falls for consideration is as under:

Whether introduction of NET/SLET as eligibility condition for recruitment and appointment of Lecturers in Universities/Colleges/Institutions as per Gazette Notification dated 11.7.2009 prescribed by University Grants Commission (UGC) will affect the selections and appointments made in accordance with the approved advertisements/notifications published before 11.7.2009 when the eligibility condition was not compulsory NET/SLET ?

3. For convenience, following details in the form of chart are reproduced, which are relevant for the purpose of decision in these writ petitions.

Sr. No.	Writ Petition No.	Name	Date of advertisement	Date of appointment
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
01.	1489/10	Sudhir s/o Sharadrao Hunge + 1	25.06.2009	14.09.2009
02.	1935/10	Ku. Pramila D/o Domaji Bhoyar	29.06.2009	29.07.2009
03.	1719/10	Anil s/o Gangaram Dodewar	05.03.2009	17.07.2009
04.	1725/10	Raju s/o laxman Ade	04.07.2009	04.11.2009
05.	1940/10	Mrs. Pournima w/o Housilal Rahangdale	23.05.2009	15.07.2009
06.	2014/10	Arvind s/o Daulat Borkar + 3	19.03.2009	31.07.2009 03.08.2009 29.07.2009 08.08.2009
07.	2015/10	Mrs. Arti w/o Baburao Padole	15.06.2009	20.07.2009
08.	2016/10	Manmohan s/o Suryabhan Bhaire + 6	29.06.2009	31.07.2009 03.08.2009 29.07.2009 08.08.2009
09.	2088/10	Ms. Bhagyashree Shridharrao Gawate	30.05.2009	22.07.2009
10.	2089/10	Ku. Smita d/o Ganesh Ambade and another	29.05.2008	05.08.2009 10.08.2009
11.	2090/10	Tikaram s/o Dayaram Samrit	02.06.2009	03.08.2009
12.	2091/10	Sanjay s/o Bachchesaheb Deshmukh + 2	14.05.2009	25.08.2009 16.07.2009 17.07.2009
13.	2093/10	Najukram s/o Dinanath Bankar	04.06.2009	19.08.2009
14.	2094/10	Ku. Sheetal Ramdas Gomkar	23.04.2009	24.07.2009
15.	2095/10	Shrawan s/o Baliram Kapgade + 3	25.06.2009	22.07.2009
16.	2096/10	Anant s/o Atmaram Rindhe	07.06.2009	03.08.2009
17.	2097/10	Ashok s/o Ramdasji Salame	15.06.2009	20.07.2009
18.	2116/10	Anup s/o Vinayakrao Gumble	06.07.2009	23.08.2009
19.	2129/10	Ashwin s/o Shivcharan Meshram	25.06.2009	22.07.2009
20.	2211/10	Anand s/o Gurnomal Thadani	05.07.2009	20.07.2009
21.	2212/10	Ms. Reema d/o Khialdas Kamlani	05.07.2009	03.09.2009
22.	2413/10	Ajit s/o venkateshrao Khajgiwale	04.07.2009	04.11.2009
23.	5197/09	Sunil s/o Gajananrao Dhakulkar	22.06.2009	10.08.2009
24.	5209/09	Prakash s/o Dhondiba Shrugare	22.06.2009	17.08.2009
25.	503/10	Gajanan s/o Bhaurao Jangale	22.06.2009	18.08.2009
26.	2084/10	Gajanan s/o Shyamsundar Raut	14.05.2009	25.08.2009

4. In all the above writ petitions from serial No.1 to 25, advertisements were approved by the respondent – University before their publication on the respective dates mentioned in the above chart.

5. In Writ Petition No.2084/2010 i.e. at serial No.26 in the above chart, advertisement was firstly published on 14.5.2009 after its approval from the University for the post indicated in the said advertisement. However, since qualified candidate was not available, fresh advertisement was issued on 20.7.2009 i.e. after cut-off date, namely, 11.7.2009. Fact, however, remains that the second advertisement was issued pursuant to the said approval of the University, which related to the first advertisement dated 14.5.2009. In our opinion, though second advertisement is dated 20.7.2009, the same relates back to the first advertisement dated 14.5.2009, and

therefore, will make no difference in comparison of this writ petition with the other writ petitions in substance.

6. It is not in dispute that before 11.7.2009 i.e. the date on which the University Grants Commission prescribed compulsory NET/SLET qualification for the recruitment and appointment of lecturers in Universities/Colleges/Institutions, there was exemption to the candidates possessing M.Phil. degree by virtue of UGC (Minimum Qualifications required for the appointment and Career Advancement of teachers in Universities and Institutions affiliated to it) (2nd Amendment), Regulation 2006 dated 14.6.2006 (hereinafter referred to as "second amendment").

7. In other words, even if the candidate did not possess NET/SLET qualification, if he possessed M.Phil. degree, he was eligible to apply and for getting selected for teaching under graduate level students. In other words, all petitioners in these writ petitions, who possess the said M.Phil. qualification though they do not possess NET/SLET qualification, were eligible for being selected and appointed prior to 11.7.2009. Perusal of the above chart shows that respondent – University had approved all the advertisements, which were ultimately published as indicated in the aforesaid chart before 11.7.2009, considering the exemption regarding NET/SLET as aforesaid and treating M.Phil. as eligibility qualification. In other words, on the date of advertisements/notifications published, M.Phil. was treated as eligibility qualification, which was accordingly prescribed by the University Grants Commission. However, University Grants Commission changed eligibility qualification by bringing the same into force with effect from the date of publication in the gazette of India and that date is 11.7.2009. The change that is made from the said date, namely, 11.7.2009 is as under:

"NET/SLET shall remain the minimum eligibility condition for recruitment and appointment of Lecturer's in Universities/Colleges/Institutions.

Provided, however, that candidates, who are or have been awarded Ph.D. Degree in compliance of the "University Grants

Commission (minimum standards and procedure for award of Ph.D. Degree), Regulation 2009, shall be exempted from the requirement of the minimum eligibility condition of NET/SLET for recruitment and appointment of Assistant Professor or equivalent positions in Universities/Colleges/Institutions."

8. In our opinion, in the light of the above facts, the question that has been framed by us can be answered in accordance with the pronouncement of law made by the Hon'ble Apex Court in various decisions.

9. In the case of *Ashok Kumar Sharma and others...Versus...Chander Shekhar and another*, reported in *1997 (4) Supreme Court Cases 18* the three Judge Bench considered the issue and held in paragraph No.6 thus:

"6.The proposition that where applications are called for prescribing a particular date as the last date for filing the applications, the eligibility of the candidates shall have to be judged with reference to that date and that date alone, is a well-established one. A person who acquires the prescribed qualification subsequent to such prescribed date cannot be considered at all. An advertisement or notification issued/published calling for applications constitutes a representation to the public and the authority issuing it is bound by such representation. It cannot act contrary to it. One reason behind this proposition is that if it were known that persons who obtained the qualifications after the prescribed date but before the date of interview would be allowed to appear for the interview, other similarly placed persons could also have applied. Just because some of the persons had applied notwithstanding that they had not acquired the prescribed qualifications by the prescribed date, they could not have been treated on a preferential basis. Their applications ought to have been rejected at the inception itself. This proposition is indisputable and in fact was not doubted or disputed in the majority judgment. This is also the proposition affirmed in *Rekha Chaturvedi v. University of Rajasthan.*"

In the case of *Gopal Krushna Rath...Versus...M.A.A. Baig (Dead) By Lrs. and others*, reported in *1999 (1) Supreme Court Cases 544* the Hon'ble Supreme Court in paragraph Nos.6 and 7 held thus:

"6. When the selection process has actually commenced and the last date for inviting applications is over, any subsequent change in the requirements regarding qualifications by the University Grants Commission will not affect the process of selection which has

सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, अमरावती विभाग, अमरावती

दुरध्वनी क्रमांक - ०७२१ - २५३१२३५ : email - jdheamt@yahoo.com

क्रमांक/ससं/उशि/अनु-४/२९६९/९० : दिनांक ०३.०६.२०१०

प्रति,

प्राचार्य, सर्व अशासकीय व अनुदानीत कला, वाणिज्य, विज्ञान व शारीरिक शिक्षण महाविद्यालय, अमरावती विभाग, अमरावती.

अमरावती विभागातील अनुदानित महाविद्यालयांमध्ये सध्या कार्यरत खालील प्रकारच्या शिक्षक व शिक्षकेत्तर कर्मचाऱ्यांचे सहाय्या वेतन आयोगानुसार सुधारित वेतन श्रेणीत वेतन देयकात समावेश करण्याबाबत.

१. दिनांक ११ जुलै २००९ नंतर अनुदानित महाविद्यालयात नव्याने रुजू झालेल्या तथापी सहसंचालक कार्यालयाकडून त्यांच्या रितसर नियुक्तीची पडताळणी न करता परस्पर वेतन देयकात समाविष्ट कर्मचारी,

२. पाचव्या वेतन आयोगानुसार सेट/नेट मध्ये सुट प्राप्त झाल्याने सहाय्या वेतन आयोगात (विद्यापीठाकडून पडताळणी झालेले मात्र) सहसंचालक कार्यालयाकडून पडताळणी न करता परस्पर वेतन देयकात समाविष्ट कर्मचारी.

३. शिक्षकेत्तर कर्मचारी ज्यांची सहाय्या वेतन आयोगानुसार पदोन्नती श्रेणीतील वेतन या कार्यालयाच्या मंजूरी शिवाय ग्राह्य धरून वेतन दिलेले कर्मचारी.

४. शासन निर्णय दिनांक ०७ नोव्हेंबर २००९ अन्वये थेट १०० टक्के अनुदानावर आलेल्या महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक/ शिक्षकेत्तर कर्मचाऱ्यांची वेतन पडताळणी न करून घेता परस्पर वेतन देयकात समाविष्ट केलेले कर्मचारी.

उपरोक्त क्रमांक १ ते ४ मध्ये समावेश होत असलेल्या आपल्या महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक व शिक्षकेत्तर कर्मचाऱ्यांचे सहाय्या वेतन आयोगानुसार वेतनाचा समावेश या कार्यालयाच्या मान्यतेशिवाय करण्यात येवू नये.

आपला विश्वासू,

सहसंचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, अमरावती विभाग, अमरावती.

प्रत : मा. शिक्षण संचालक, उच्च शिक्षण, महाराष्ट्र राज्य, पुणे-१

** AF: P 111 **

already commenced. Otherwise it would involve issuing a fresh advertisement with the new qualifications. In the case of *P. Mahendran v. State of Karnataka* this Court has observed (SCC p. 416, para 5)

“5. It is well-settled rule of construction that every statute or statutory rule is prospective unless it is expressly or by necessary implication made to have retrospective effect.” The Court further observed that :

“Since the amending Rules were not retrospective, it could not adversely affect the right of those candidates who were qualified for selection and appointment on the date they applied for the post, moreover as the process of selection had already commenced when the amending Rules came into force, the amended Rules could not affect the existing rights of those candidates who were being considered for selection as they possessed the requisite qualifications prescribed by the Rules before its amendment.”

7. In the present case, therefore, the appellant possessed the necessary qualifications as advertised on the last date of receiving applications. These qualifications were in accordance with the Rules/guidelines then in force. There is also no doubt that the appellant obtained higher marks than the original Respondent 1 at the selection. There is no challenge to the process of selection, nor is there any allegation of malafides in the process of selection.” In the case of *Madan Mohan Sharma and another...Versus...State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in *2008 (3) Supreme Court Cases 724* the Hon’ble Supreme Court in paragraph Nos.11 and 12 held thus:

“11.Once the advertisement had been issued on the basis of the circular obtaining at that particular time, the effect would be that the selection process should continue on the basis of the criteria which were laid down and it cannot be on the basis of the criteria which has been made subsequently.

12. As per the circular which was obtaining at the time when the advertisement was issued dated 24-7-1995, the criteria for selection to the post of teacher Grade III was Secondary Examination though this was changed during the pendency of the advertisement. Subsequent amendment of the Rules which was prospective cannot be made retrospective so as to make the selection on the basis of the Rules which were subsequently amended. If this was to be done, then the only course open was to recall Advertisement No.1 of 1996 and to issue fresh advertisement according to the Rules which had come into force.”

10. From the above, it is clear that the subsequent insertion of compulsory NET/SLET qualification by

gazette notification dated 11.7.2009 made by University Grants Commission will have to be held to be prospective in its operation since in all these cases the advertisements as per earlier eligibility qualifications were duly approved and sanctioned by the University and were also published well before the cut-off date, namely, 11.7.2009 and at any rate before the last date of application that was to be made pursuant to these advertisements. **Last date of application as per advertisements is a crucial date in accordance with the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court.**

11. For all the above reasons, therefore, we answer the question framed by us holding that the selections and **appointments made pursuant to the advertisements published in these writ petitions prior to 11.07.2009 shall not be affected by introduction of compulsory NET/SLET eligibility criteria** as the said gazette notification dated 11.07.2009 is prospective in nature. In the result, we make the following order.

ORDER

(i) Rule in all these writ petitions is made absolute.

(ii) It is declared that the petitioners in all these writ petitions are governed by the University Grants Commission by virtue of UGC (Minimum Qualifications required for the appointment and Career Advancement of teachers in Universities and Institutions affiliated to it) (2nd Amendment), Regulation 2006 dated 14.6.2006 and are qualified and eligible as being appointed as Lecturers.

(iii) Respondent – University consequently is directed to consider the issue of approval to the appointments of the petitioners afresh in the light of this judgment.

JUDGE

JUDGE

** FS : P 127 **

MAHARASHTRA FEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS ORGANIZATIONS (MFUCTO)

Extract taken from the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee
of the MFUCTO held at Mumbai, 27th June 2010,
on the NET/SET issue.

3. Reporting on the further developments in respect of the UGC/MHRD on the NET/SET issue and filing of Court cases :

In connection with this item, documents in the form of letters dated 5th and 9th June 2010 to the Hon'ble Union HRD Minister (Shri. Kapil Sibal) from the MFUCTO were circulated with all the enclosures. Detailed discussion took place in which members pointed out that

(i) A meeting of the MFUCTO with the Union HRD Minister was necessary to follow up the matter.

(ii) AIFUCTO National Secretariat should also be involved in the effort.

(iii) As already decided earlier, Writ Petition should be filed at Aurangabad Bench of Bombay High Court against the HRD Ministry and UGC and also a Contempt Petition against the UGC.

(iv) Petitions on behalf of some group of teachers may also be filed

(v) Holding a Dharna (March to Parliament) at New Delhi on behalf of the NET/SET affected teachers in Maharashtra which should be directed against the Union MHRD Minister and UGC. The date of the dharna was decided as Monday, 2nd August 2010 from 11.30 a.m. to 2.30 p.m. The different affiliate units were directed to go to the teachers and prepare them to participate in the mass dharna at New Delhi.

DHARNA & MARCH TO PARLIAMENT ON MONDAY, 2nd OF AUGUST, 2010

(See Page 84)

UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION

Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi- 110 002

AshoK K. Dogra, Joint Secretary

E-mail : akdogra@ugc.ac.in : Speed Post : No. F.1-1/2002(PS) Exemp.Pt. File IV

03 June 2010

The Vice Chancellor,
Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University,
Amravati-444 602.

Sub. : Withdrawal of the decision of the Commission taken in its 468th meeting held on 23.02.2010 under agenda item no. 6.04 and 6.05 conveyed to you vide UGC letter no. 1-1/2009(PS) meeting Pt. file/ dated 23.03.2010.

Sir,

Kindly refer to our letter 1-1/2009(PS) Meeting Pt. File-1 dated 23.03.2010 on the subject mentioned above. As you were informed, the Commission in its 468th meeting held on 23.02.2010 in respect of agenda item no. 6.04 and 6.05 has decided as under :-

6.04. The Commission considered the request received from various Universities for granting exemptions from NET for appointment as lecturers and approved the recommendations of the Committee constituted for the purpose.

6.05 The Commission considered the need for providing exemption arising out of the decisions of the various High Courts and decided that the exemption in respect of non NET/SLET qualified teachers under the provisions of UGC regulations 1991/2000 may be continued and processed accordingly.

Thereafter, the UGC vide its aforesaid letter informed you regarding exemption from NET/SET granted by the Commission in terms of the above decision.

Subsequently, the MHRD, Department of Higher Education, Government of India in exercise of power conferred under Section 20 of the UGC Act, 1956 issued an order dated 30.03.2010, Whereby the UGC was directed not to take specific case for exemption from the application of the NET Regulation of 2009 after the said Regulations have come into force, for either specific person or for specific university /institution/ college from the application of the UGC (Minimum Qualification for Appointment and Career Advancement of Teachers in Universities and Colleges) 3rd Amendment Regulations, 2009 for appointment as lecturer in University/ College/ institute. The MHRD further directed UGC vide aforesaid order that the decision taken by the UGC in its 468th meeting held on 23.02.2010 vide agenda item no. 6.04 and 6.05 to grant specific exemptions from the applicability of NET shall not be implemented as being contrary to national policy (copy enclosed). Accordingly, the Commission in its 469th meeting held on 04.05.2010 considered the above order of the MHRD and resolved as under :-

"The Commission noted order dated 30th March, 2010 received from the Department of Higher Education, MHRD, Government of India including order for withdrawal of the decision of the Commission in its 468th meeting held on 23rd February, 2010 by Agenda item No. 6.04 and 6.05 and decided that UGC may take further action to implement the above order.

After a detailed discussion on the issue of exemption from NET/SET Secretary, MHRD suggested that Committee may be constituted by chairman UGC to look into the issue in detail and to advise the Commission in the matter relating to exemption from NET/SET. The recommendations of the Committee may be referred to the MHRD for consideration.

The Commission also noted that there are directions from various courts in Maharashtra to consider specific cases for exemption. In view of the above, the Commission decided that necessary steps may be taken for compliance of the orders passed by various courts/ High Courts in Maharashtra. All such cases shall be resolved separately as per legal provisions which would be sustainable in the court of law.

Directions received from courts in other parts of the country shall also be dealt with in a similar manner.

Accordingly, the decision of the Commission dated 23.02.2010 for exemption from NET conveyed vide our letter no. 1-1/2009 (PS) meeting Pt. file-1 dated 23.03.2010 **is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.**

However, in terms of the decision of the Commission to take necessary steps for compliance of the orders passed by various courts, you are requested to kindly inform the Commission immediately regarding any case pertaining to consideration for grant of exemption from NET which is to be taken up in compliance of the order passed by a court of law. If there is any such case to be taken up for consideration for exemption from NET in compliance of an order passed by the court then such proposal along with full details including certified true copy of the order passed by the concerned Hon'ble Court in this regard may kindly be forwarded to UGC at an early date. So that the same may be considered by the Commission in accordance with the Commission's decision cited hereinbefore. Compliance of action taken in this regard be reported to the Commission immediately.

With regard,

Yours sincerely,
(A.K.Dogra)

Encl : as above

MAHARASHTRA FEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS ORGANIZATIONS

University Club House, Churchgate, B-Road, : Mumbai 400 020

**NOTE ON THE NET/SET QUALIFICATION CONDITION IN RESPECT OF
UNIVERSITY AND COLELGE TEACHERS
IN MAHRASHTRA**

1. Various developments in respect of imposing the NET/SET qualification condition in the State of Maharashtra have occurred since the year 1992 and the grave injustice has been and even now is being caused to a large section of teachers working in the Universities and affiliated colleges for no fault of theirs. From time to time attempts have been made to resolve the issue through discussion and understanding the complexities involved. **A detailed Memorandum dated 30th March 2003 which throws complete light on the developments in Maharashtra is annexed hereto and marked as EXHIBIT A.**

2. On the Government of Maharashtra bringing out Government Resolution dated 18th October 2001, the matter went to Hon'ble High Court in several bunches of Writ Petitions across the State. The Petitions were heard initially by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Bombay High Court at Nagpur which were disposed of by Judgment and Order dated 27/11/2008 of Their Lordships Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.H. Marlapalle and Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.H. Patil (2003(2) Mh.L.J.176). **The Hon'ble Division Bench directed that the cases of teachers without NET/SET appointed between 19th September 1991 and 11th December 1999 be sent to the UGC by the colleges through the**

Universities for claiming exemptions from the condition of NET/SET qualification under the UGC Regulations dated 19/9/1991 and 4/4/2000. Thereafter the same issue came up for decision/clarification at Bombay before the Division Bench of the High Court (V.G. Palshikar & Smt. Nishita Mhatre, JJ) in respect of a bunch of writ petitions. Taking into consideration the Judgment and Order passed already by the Aurangabad Bench, the High Court at Bombay disposed of the petitions by further Order dated 18th April 2002. The said Judgments Order 18 April 2002 is hereto annexed and marked as EXHIBITS B. The said Order dated 18th April 2002 of the Hon'ble Division Bench in para.5 observed as under :

“5. In view of the fact that no time limit is fixed by the Aurangabad Bench, in our opinion, interest of justice require that such time limit is fixed. The process of receiving the requests from the management for consideration regarding relaxation etc., of the conditions by the UGC will take time and it would therefore be appropriate to fix some time limit. The Managements where they are directed to approach the UGC for relaxation shall do so within four months from the date of the order of this Court. The concerned University then process the same and forward them to UGC. This be done by the concerned Universities within four months of

MAHARASHTRAFEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS' ORGANISATIONS (MFUCTO)

Affiliated to the AIFUCTO

Vidyapeeth Vidyarthi Bhavan, B-Road, Churchgate, Mumbai 400 020.

President

Prof. C.R.Sadasivan
R.K.Gokuldham, A/205
S.V.Road, Borivli (West)
Mumbai-400 092

General Secretary

Prof. E.H.Kathale
N/162 Reshimbagh
Nagpur, 440 009

5th JUNE 2010

Shri Kapil Sibal

Hon'ble Minister for Human Resource Development Union of India, New Delhi.

Subject: Qualification of NET/SET for University and College Lecturers in Maharashtra - Proper and Legal Implementation thereof

Hon'ble Sir,

1. In view of the new developments arising out of the MHRD directions to the UGC contained in Order dated 30th March 2010, Maharashtra Federation of University and College Teachers' Organization (MFUCTO) which represents the teachers at the 10 non-agricultural universities and colleges affiliated thereto, is of the opinion that there is an urgent need to have a meeting with your good self of a deputation of MFUCTO.

2. MFUCTO feels that such meeting would be able to explain to you the issue in the proper perspective in as much as the exemptions for teachers in Maharashtra came to be sent to the UGC on the basis of two initial Judgments of the Division Benches of the Hon'ble High Court at Bombay in 2003 and 2004 followed another Judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench in 2008. The present order passed by your Ministry will in fact interfere with the directions and order in the said judgments where UGC was a party-Respondent.

3. MFUCTO has never called for lowering of the qualifications for the appointment of teachers but insisted that the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment of 1994 Supp (3) Supreme Court 561 in University of Delhi C/s. Raj Singh & Ors be followed strictly. The Maharashtra situation needed a proper approach and that was the reason for the three Division Bench Judgments of the Hon'ble High Court. The UGC was made fully aware of all the facts and circumstances of the Maharashtra situation. A detailed note on the facts of Maharashtra is being sent to you for further information.

4. In view of this, it is requested that MFUCTO may be given an appointment by your good self sometime in the last week of June or early July 2010 to discuss the matter so that a proper decision could emerge.

With warm regards,

Yours faithfully
(C.R. Sadasivan)
President, MFUCTO

receiving the requests from the managements. **The UGC will have then four months to process the applications and request so made and then take decision."**

It needs to be pointed out that the UGC was a Party-Respondent in the Petitions at all levels and had accepted before the Hon'ble Court that they would be guided by the directions of the Hon'ble Court.

4. **Thereafter however since the UGC failed to take up even a single case for exemption and neglected to comply with the Hon'ble Court Judgment and Orders for more than 2 years** though the Universities had submitted hundreds of cases of teachers as per the direction of the Hon'ble Courts. In view of the gross negligence on the part of the UGC amounting to contempt of the Hon'ble High Court, MFUCTO and other Petitioners were compelled to file Contempt Petition before the Hon'ble High Court at Bombay. The UGC after due notice UGC was represented before the Hon'ble Court and it was pointed out that the UGC did not intend to show any disrespect to the Hon'ble Court decisions and that process was being started and that consideration of the cases for exemptions would be expedited. Thereafter the UGC seriously acted in the matter and appointed Standing Committee on Exemptions from NET. The UGC also took meetings of University and College officials at the different University levels and prescribed Format to be filled in giving data. The process went on and thousands of cases reached the UGC through the Universities. Thereafter the UGC modified the proforma once or twice and further delay was caused. Thereafter a number of cases came to be considered and exemptions granted for 2 years, 3 years and thereafter decision was taken that all those who have completed 5 years of service be exempted fully. The several cases running into a few thousands where exemptions were granted and Universities were intimated, the Universities acted and intimated the colleges concerned and the teachers. Their placement benefits which had been held up also came to be taken up.

5. More recently some more writ petitions were disposed of by the Hon'ble Nagpur Bench of the Bombay High Court and in W.P. No.4266 of 2006, by Judgment and Order **in November 2008 the old decisions have been reiterated.**

6. While in respect of some of the Universities like Mumbai the cases were being taken up, an attempt was made all of a sudden to prevent exemptions being granted by the UGC. Through a total misrepresentation to the Ministry of HRD, the process was stalled in the midst which

is an unfortunate development. MHRD has issued Order dated 30th March 2010 to the UGC by which consideration of cases for exemptions have been virtually stalled. Not only this but even the cases which have been considered and exemptions granted by decision of the UGC at its meeting held on 23rd February 2010 have been directed to be withdrawn.

7. **It is respectfully submitted that the decision of the MHRD is not just and proper as far as Maharashtra State is concerned.** It is also without taking into account the three Hon'ble Bombay High Court (Division Bench) judgments and directions to the UGC as party Respondent. The decision is also improper on account of the directions to withdraw the exemptions already granted by decision of the UGC on 23rd February 2010 which amount to a retrospective application of adverse conditions to teachers. The decision will also be discriminatory because those teachers cases which were considered and disposed of by granting exemptions prior to 23/2/2010 will continue to enjoy the benefit of the decision while their counterparts are denied the said application. In fact some of the teachers who have been appointed even as late as 1998 or 1999 have received exemptions from the UGC and are retaining the benefit whereas some of the teachers who have cases came up only on 23/2/2010. The action therefore would suffer from the vice of discrimination as also arbitrariness. It is difficult to believe that it is happening at the hands of a Minister who is himself well trained in law and practice and has been an eminent jurist.

8. **A meeting with MFUCTO and discussion and verification of documents including Court decisions will help in rectifying the situation.** In view of the new developments arising out of the MHRD directions to the UGC contained in Order dated 30th March 2010, Maharashtra Federation of University and College Teachers' Organization (MFUCTO) which represents the teachers at the 10 non-agricultural universities and colleges affiliated thereto, is of the opinion that there is an urgent need to have a meeting with your good self of a deputation of MFUCTO.

(C.R. Sadasivan)
President, MFUCTO

Encl : (i) Letter dated 9/6/2010 of MFUCTO (ii) Note of MFUCTO on NET/SET qualification in Maharashtra (iii) Memorandum dated 30/3/2003 of MFUCTO to UGC (iv) Hon'ble High Court Judgment dated 18/4/2002

** FS : P 193 **

MAHARASHTRA FEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS' ORGANISATIONS (MFUCTO)
Affiliated to the AIFUCTO

Vidyapeeth Vidyarthi Bhavan, B-Road, Churchgate, Mumbai 400 020.

President

Prof. C.R.Sadasivan
R.K.Gokuldharm, A/205
S.V.Road, Borivli (West)

General Secretary

Prof. E.H.Kathale
N/162 Reshimbagh
Nagpur, 440 009

9th JUNE 2010

Shri Kapil Sibal

Hon'ble Minister for Human Resource Development Union of India, New Delhi.

Subject: NET/SET Qualification for University and College Lecturers Proper and Legal Implementation thereof in Maharashtra

Hon'ble Minister, Sir:

This is in continuation of letter dated 5th June 2010 sent by me to you as Hon'ble Minister for Human Resources Development on the above subject. It was stated therein that a detailed note on the facts in respect of Maharashtra will be sent to you for further information. Accordingly by this letter I am sending the said Note.

In view of the various developments during the last about 17-18 years in respect of this matter and the legal issues involved, it is necessary that a meeting is held by your good self with a deputation of MFUCTO to resolve the issue which has been hanging fire since long. It will be highly appreciated if your good self communicate a date and time for the meeting preferably before the end of June 2010.

With warm regards,

Yours faithfully
(C.R. Sadasivan)
President, MFUCTO

ALL INDIA FEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS' ORGANISATIONS

(Regd. under Act. XXI of 1860)

CIRCULAR : CIRCULAR NO:05/2009-10 : 02.06.10

Dear Friends,

The period since the last circular has witnessed very busy schedule for the AIFUCTO leadership. Release of UGC Regulations, implementation of pay scales in some states, rectification of anomalies of 1996 & 2006 pay scales, the major bills presented by the Govt. of India in parliament have been some of the issues agitating our minds. Regular meetings with UGC and MHRD officials have been held. The leadership contacted and held discussions with, eminent personalities and political leaders regarding the ongoing exercises for the re- structuring of higher education mechanism in our country. We have been posting you with all such developments and relevant documents through our website.

NEC Meeting held on 17.05.10 in Delhi

The AIFUCTO NEC meeting was held on 17th May, 2010 at Gandhi Peace Foundation, New Delhi. The meeting was presided over by Prof. James William, President, AIFUCTO and attended by NEC members from almost all major states.

The General Secretary informed the meeting that he was camping in Delhi to continue meetings with MHRD officials and UGC functionaries. All the pending issues had been

taken up in such meetings.

The meeting reviewed the latest developments in the implementation of pay scales in different states and expressed concern at the non-implementation of the pay scales in Bihar, Jharkhand and Manipur. However, an agreement was signed between the agitating teachers' organizations and the State govt. of Bihar. In the other States negotiations are going on.

Since the Notification of UGC Regulations is of utmost importance to the young teachers, whose CAS hinges on it, the General Secretary reported on the latest development of the UGC recommended version pending now with MHRD. He elaborated the efforts made to ensure the release of inclusive and pragmatic regulations. All speakers emphasized that the regulations should not be injurious to the teachers and agreed with the views of the leadership that AIFUCTO should continue to work for changing the Draft regulations before it was notified, as once published it might be very difficult to ensure changes.

The speakers expressed concern over the delay in the payment of arrears and referred to the recent letter to states from MHRD. Members wanted state govts. to settle with MHRD the matters of disagreement and ensure early

Dearness Relief on Pension/Family Pension**GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA : FINANCE DEPARTMENT****GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION, NO.DRP-2010/C/R.68/SER-4,
Mantralaya, Mumbai- 400 032, dated 22nd June 2010**

Read- Government Resolution, Finance Department, No. DRP 1009/C/R.83/SER-4, dated 7th October 2009.

RESOLUTION

Government is pleased to decide that dearness relief shall be paid to the State Government pensioners/family pensioners on their Basic Pension/Family Pension and Dearness pension/Dearness family pension (if any) **at the rate of 35% with effect from 1st June 2010.**

2. Payment of dearness relief involving a fraction of a rupee shall be rounded off to the next higher rupee.
3. It will be the responsibility of the Pension Disbursing Authority, i.e. pay and Accounts Officer, Mumbai/Treasury Officers, as the case may be, to calculate the quantum of dearness relief payable in each individual case.
4. Government is also pleased to direct that above decision should, *mutatis mutandis*, apply to those employees including family pensioners of **Recognised and Aided Educational Institutions, Non-Agricultural Universities and Affiliated Non-Government Colleges and Agricultural Universities to whom the pension scheme is made applicable.**
5. In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Section 248 of the Maharashtra Zilla Parishads and Panchayat Samities Act, 1961 (Mah. V of 1962) and of all the other powers enabling it in that behalf, Government is further pleased to decide that the above decision shall apply to the pensioners including family pensioners of Zilla Parishads.
6. State Government Employees who had drawn lumpsum payment on absorption in a PSU/autonomous body/Local Bodies and have become entitled to restoration of 1/3rd commuted portion of pension as well as revision of the restored amount in terms of Government Resolution, Finance Department, No. COP1099/306/SER-4, dated 15th November 1999 will also be entitled to the payment of dearness relief on full pension as per the provision of Government Resolution, Finance Department, No. COP-1001/50/SER-4, dated 9th April 2001, at the prescribed rate and from the date, prescribed in paragraph 1 above.
7. The expenditure on this account should be debited to the Budget Heads to which the retirement benefits of the employees mentioned in the above paras are debited and should be met from the grants sanctioned thereunder.
8. All orders in force in regard to the payment of relief on pension sanctioned by Government from time to time will, *mutatis mutandis*, apply to the dearness relief now sanctioned.
9. This Government Resolution is available on the web site of Government of Maharashtra i.e. "www.maharashtra.gov.in" and its computer code number is 20100623134256001.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Maharashtra.

A.M.Solegaonkar
Joint Secretary to Government

PR :- (1) NB 2009 P 239 (2) NB 2009 P 222 (3) NB 2009 P 223 (4) NB 2010 P 81

** FS : P 207 **

payment of arrears, by both central & state govts.

On the issue of anomalies arising from 1996 and 2006 pay scales, the General Secretary pointed out that the long standing demand of CAS from 1.1.1996 and the higher start of Rs14940 were taken up with the MHRD again.

The NEC members noted with concern the moves by some state Govt. like Bihar to undermine the importance of some traditional subjects. The Govt. of Bihar in the name of rationalization is trying to close down some of the departments in colleges and universities., As a part of that program posts are being abolished and school like teacher student ratio is being implemented though most of the districts are educationally backward and enrolment ratios are far from satisfactory. As all such actions would hurt the interest of education, the meeting condemned such anti academic steps of Bihar Govt. and demanded reversal of the same. The members urged the leadership to take up the matter with both Delhi and Patna.

Speakers in the NEC meeting deplored the distorted pay scales in some states and demanded revised pay scales in toto.

The NEC members discussed all the issues and provided guidance to the leadership on future course of action, including holding of negotiations with the central and state govts. to protect the interest of the teachers and future

राज्य शासकीय व इतर पात्र कर्मचाऱ्यांना मंजूर करण्यात येणाऱ्या
महागाई भत्त्याच्या दरात दिनांक १ जून २०१०
पासून सुधारणा करण्याबाबत

महाराष्ट्र शासन : वित्त विभाग

शासन निर्णय क्रमांक मभवा-१११०/प्र.क्र.७९/सेवा- ९

मंत्रालय, मुंबई ४०० ०३२ : दिनांक : २२ जून, २०१०

संदर्भ:- शासन निर्णय, वित्त विभाग क्रमांक मभवा-११०९/प्र.क्र.७०/
सेवा-९, दिनांक ५ ऑक्टोबर, २००९

शासन निर्णय :

राज्य शासकीय कर्मचारी व इतर पात्र पूर्णकालिक कर्मचाऱ्यांच्या महागाई भत्त्याच्या दरात सुधारणा करण्याचा प्रश्न शासनाच्या विचाराधिन होता.

२. शासन असे आदेश देत आहे की, दिनांक १ जून, २०१० पासून सुधारित वेतन संरचनेतील मुळ वेतनावरील (वेतनवॅडमधील वेतन अधिक ग्रेड वेतन) अनुज्ञेय महागाई भत्त्याचा दर २७ टक्के वरून ३५ टक्के करण्यात यावा. सदर महागाई भत्त्याच्या वाढीची रक्कम रोखीने देण्यात यावी.

३. महागाई भत्त्याची रक्कम प्रदान करण्यासंदर्भात विद्यमान तरतुदी व कार्यपद्धती आहे त्याचप्रकारे यापुढेही लागू राहिल.

४. सदर आदेश सुधारित वेतन संरचनेत वेतन अनुज्ञेय असलेल्या संस्थांमधील कर्मचाऱ्यांना योग्य त्या फेरफारासह लागू राहतील.

५. यावर होणारा खर्च संबंधित शासकीय कर्मचाऱ्यांचे वेतन व भत्ते ज्या लेखाशीर्षाखाली खर्ची टाकण्यात येतात, त्या लेखाशीर्षाखाली खर्ची टाकून त्याखालील मंजूर अनुदानातून भागविण्यात यावा. अनुदानप्राप्त संस्था व जिल्हा परिषद कर्मचाऱ्यांच्या बाबतीत, संबंधित प्रमुख लेखा शीर्षाखालील ज्या उपलेखाशीर्षाखाली त्यांच्या सहाय्यक अनुदानाबाबतचा खर्च खर्ची टाकण्यात येतो, त्या उप लेखाशीर्षाखाली हा खर्च खर्ची टाकण्यात यावा.

६. सदर शासन निर्णय महाराष्ट्र शासनाच्या www.maharashtra.gov.in या संकेतस्थळावर उपलब्ध असून त्याचा संगणक संकेतांक २०१००६२२९८३८४१००९ असा आहे.

महाराष्ट्राचे राज्यपाल यांच्या आदेशानुसार व नावाने,

(शि.म.म्हाने)

उपसचिव, महाराष्ट्र शासन

PR :- (1) NB 2009 P 239 (2) NB 2009 P 232 (3) NB 2009 P 233

(4) NB 2010 P 82

** FS : P 209 **

action program.

The meeting decided to prepare a final document on four bills presented in parliament and sent to Standing Committees after receiving feedback from affiliates and all concerned. The draft has been presented in our website.

The NEC meeting expressed deep concern at the decision of MHRD not to allow regularization of teachers of Maharashtra and other states without NET/ SLET though teachers have no fault as no such notification was issued in Maharashtra either by the state govt. or Universities before April, 2010. The matter was brought to the notice of Sri Kapil Sibal in the meeting with AIFUCTO leadership.

The General Secretary informed the meeting that a number of affiliates had not paid the struggle fund at all while some paid partially. In view of the sizable expenditure in Delhi, he appealed to affiliates to expedite the payment of struggle fund and affiliation fees. He added that the financial position is extremely unsatisfactory.

Meeting with Sri Sunil Kumar, Jt. Secretary and Sri Uma Shankar, Director, MHRD

The General Secretary held crucial meetings with Sri Sunil Kumar and Sri Uma Shankar regarding regulations and the anomalies. The General Secretary thanked them for the positive stand of MHRD on a number of issues. He explained the stand of AIFUCTO on these issues in detail and pressed for an early solution. The meetings were very cordial and usefule from our point of view.

Meeting with Sri Kapil Sibal, Hon'le Minister, HRD

The leadership met Sri Kapil Sibal, the Hon'ble Minister-HRD on 17th May, 2010 and submitted a memorandum highlighting the AIFUCTO demands. Please find a copy of it in our website. During the talks, the minister was accompanied by Smt. Viva Puri Das, Secretary, Higher Education and Sri Sunil Kumar, Joint Secretary, Higher Education. All pending issues were brought to his notice and the leadership requested him to intervene. Sri Sibal assured the AIFUCTO leadership that he would consider the AIFUCTO demands.

Meeting with Sri Uma Shankar, Director, Higher Education

The leadership had a meeting with Sri Uma Shankar, Director, Higher Education and discussed in detail various issues with special reference to the anomaly of 2006 pay scales leading to juniors – with Ph.d./ M. Phil., getting higher salary than pre 2006 Ph.D./M. Phil. holders. Sri Uma Shankar informed that he had discussed the matter with AIFUCTO General Secretary and would take it up soon. As a follow up action Prof. V.S. Nehera, Vice President, met him on 25th May, 2010 and reviewed the progress of the pending issues.

Meeting with Sri Ashok Dogra, Joint Secretary and Financial Advisor UGC

The leadership met Sri Ashok Dogra, Joint Secretary and Financial Advisor UGC and discussed the pending issues on 18th, May, 2010. It is understood the date for refresher courses had been extended to June, 2011.

The AIFUCTO leadership had meetings with leaders of various political parties and submitted a document elaborating the AIFUCTO stand-point on the Bills concerning higher education tabled in parliament and referred to the standing committee on HRD. The leaders met Sri Madhu, Member of the Parliamentary Standing committee to probe how best the AIFUCTO could present its views before the entire Standing committee. He assured that he would do the needful to highlight our standpoint. The AIFUCTO leaders discussed the same matter with leaders of different political parties and sought their help in taking up the matter effectively.

Mailing list of NEC members

All affiliates are requested to send the names and mailing addresses and E-mail ids of present General Secretaries or Presidents to the office of AIFUCTO for sending circulars and other communications. We find that a number of circulars/letters are being returned every month.

News of meetings, seminars etc.

महाराष्ट्र शासन : उच्च व तंत्र शिक्षण विभाग, मंत्रालय, मुंबई-४०० ०३२

संकीर्ण २००९/(३२७/०९)विशि-१ : दिनांक १७ मे, २०१०

प्रति,

कुलसचिव, राष्ट्रसंत तुकडोजी महाराज विद्यापीठ, नागपूर.
कुलसचिव, संत गाडगेबाबा अमरावती विद्यापीठ, अमरावती.
कुलसचिव, डॉ. बाबासाहेब आंबेडकर मराठवाडा विद्यापीठ, औरंगाबाद.
कुलसचिव, उत्तर महाराष्ट्र विद्यापीठ, जळगांव.
कुलसचिव, मुंबई विद्यापीठ, मुंबई.
कुलसचिव, पुणे विद्यापीठ, पुणे.
कुलसचिव, शिवाजी विद्यापीठ, कोल्हापूर.
कुलसचिव, स्वामी रामानंद तीर्थ मराठवाडा विद्यापीठ, नांदेड.

विषय :- राष्ट्रीय शैक्षणिक गुणवत्ता मंच नागपूर यांच्या निवेदनावरील कार्यवाही (अधिव्याख्याता पदावर विद्यापीठांनी केलेल्या बेकायदेशीर नियुक्त्याबाबत.)

संदर्भ :- शासनाचे समक्रमांकाचे दिनांक १६.२.२०१० चे पत्र.

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयाबाबत राष्ट्रीय शैक्षणिक गुणवत्ता मंच, नागपूर यांनी मा. कुलपती महोदयांना दि. १३.०८.२००९ रोजी सादर केलेले निवेदन व सहपत्राच्या प्रती सोबत जोडलेल्या आहेत, कृपया त्याचे अवलोकन व्हावे.

२. सादर निवेदनामध्ये प्रामुख्याने खालील मुद्दे उपस्थित केलेले आहेत. :-

(१) विद्यापीठ व महाविद्यालयातील अधिव्याख्याता पदासाठी विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाने तयार केलेल्या निकषाप्रमाणे राज्यात दि. १९.०९.१९९९ पासून नेट/सेट परीक्षा उत्तीर्ण होणे अनिवार्य करण्यात आलेले आहे.

(२) विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाने दि. १४.०२.२००६ च्या अधिसूचनेनुसार एम.फिल. पदविधारकांना पदवी अभ्यासक्रमापर्यंत शिकविण्यासाठी अधिव्याख्याता पदावर नियुक्ती करिता नेट/सेट परीक्षा उत्तीर्ण होण्यापासून सूट दिली.

Please send news of all programs to the office of General Secretary for record and inclusion in the AIFUCTO publications.

Payment of struggle fund and membership

We request the affiliates to send payment of struggle fund and membership fees. Kindly note that the membership is now Rs.10 (Rs.Ten) per member and Rs.5000 (Rs.Five Thousand) for the federation from the current year.

Website

All circulars and developments are published in website. Surface communications take long time or never even reach the destinations. Kindly visit website on a regular basis for latest developments.

Teachers' Movement-our monthly journal

We request all units to give a drive to enrol subscribers for Teachers' Movement. This is to be taken up with all seriousness as the Journal can play an extremely important role to educate teachers about the role of teachers' movement and equip them with latest developments. Please collect Rs.300 as life subscription per member and send to Gen. Secretary. All drafts are to be payable at Hyderabad only.

Urgent request

As decided by the NEC meeting held on 17th May, 2010 all affiliates are earnestly requested to go through the four Bills available in the MHRD website and send us clause by clause feedback as early as possible. A final document will be prepared on the basis of the feedback to be presented before the Standing committee of Parliament.

Greetings

Asok Barman,
General Secretary

(३) सादर अधिसूचनेस मा. उच्च न्यायालयाने याचिका क्र. ४२६६/२००६, दिनांक ६.३.२००७ च्या आदेशानुसार स्थगिती दिली.

(४) याचिका क्र. ४२६६/२००६ मध्ये मा. उच्च न्यायालयाने अंतिम निर्णय देताना केंद्र शासनाच्या मनुष्यबळ विकास मंत्रालयाने दि. १२.११.२००८ रोजी दिलेल्या आदेशानुसार विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाने नियमावली तयार करावी असे आदेश दिले.

(५) अधिव्याख्याता पदासाठी नेट/सेट परीक्षा अनिवार्य असल्याबाबत विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोग, राज्य शासन व मा. उच्च न्यायालयाने वेळोवेळी आदेश निर्गमित करूनही राज्यातील अकृषी विद्यापीठे व महाविद्यालयांमध्ये अधिव्याख्याता पदावर विग्न नेट/सेट एम.फिल, पीएच.डी धारकांची नियुक्ती करण्यात येत आहे.

(६) दि. १४ जून, २००६ च्या अधिसूचनेतील एम.फिल धारकांना नेट/सेट अर्हतेमधून सूट देण्याबाबतच्या निर्णयास मा. उच्च न्यायालयाने स्थगिती दिलेली आहे. अशा परिस्थितीत सादर अधिसूचना अंमलात राहिल किंवा कसे याबाबत राज्यशासनाकडून विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाकडे दाखल केलेल्या संदर्भाच्या अनुषंगाने विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगातील सहसचिव यांनी दि. २४.०२.२००९ रोजी पत्र पाठवून विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाची पुढील अधिसूचना प्रसिद्ध होत नाही तोपर्यंत पूर्वीचीच अधिसूचना अंमलात राहिल असे उत्तर पाठविले.

(७) विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाने राज्य शासनाला पाठविलेल्या उक्त दि. २४.०२.२००९ च्या पत्रावर राज्य शासनाने कोणताही निर्णय कोणत्याही विद्यापीठास कळविलेले नसताना राज्यातील विद्यापीठाकडून या पत्राच्या गैरफायदा घेऊन अधिव्याख्याता पदावर एम.फिल., पीएच.डी. धारकांची नियुक्ती करण्यात आलेली आहे.

(८) वस्तुतः विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाकडून कोणताही संदर्भ अथवा आदेश प्राप्त झाल्यास त्यास राज्य शासनाची मान्यता घेऊन विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाचे आदेश लागू करावे किंवा कसे याबाबत उच्च व तंत्र शिक्षण विभागाकडून वेळोवेळी आदेश निर्गमित होतात.

(९) विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोगाच्या दि. २४.०२.२००९ च्या पत्राच्या अनुषंगाने राज्य शासनाकडून विद्यापीठास कोणतेही आदेश प्राप्त झालेले नसताना विद्यापीठांनी त्यांच्या अधिकारात परिपत्रके काढून एम.फिल., पीएच.डी. धारकांना पात्र ठरवून नियुक्ती केल्या आहेत.

(१०) विद्यापीठांची उक्त कार्यवाही मा. उच्च न्यायालयाच्या आदेशाची अवमान करणारी व राज्य शासनाच्या धोरणांशी विसंगत आहे.

३. अधिव्याख्याता पदाच्या अर्हतेबाबत विद्यापीठ अनुदान आयोग, राज्य शासन व मा. उच्च न्यायालय यांनी वेळोवेळी दिलेले आदेश विचारात घेऊन सादर पत्रावर विहित कार्यपद्धतीचा अवलंब करून नियुक्त करण्याची कार्यवाही आपल्याकडून करणे आवश्यक आहे. राष्ट्रीय शैक्षणिक गुणवत्ता मंच, नागपूर यांनी सादर केलेले निवेदन व सहपत्राचे अवलोकन केले असता आपल्या विद्यापीठांकडून बेकायदेशीर नियुक्त्या झाल्याचे प्रथमदर्शनी दिसून येते. त्यामुळे यासंदर्भात आपल्या स्तरावर पडताळणी करून नियमबाह्य नियुक्त्या झाल्या असल्यास त्या तात्काळ रद्द करण्यात याव्यात. तदनुषंगाने अनुपालन अहवाल मा. कुलपती यांचे कार्यालय व शासनास १० दिवसात सादर करावा, ही विनंती.

आपला

(विकास तु.कदम)

कक्ष अधिकारी, महाराष्ट्र शासन

प्रत : (१) मा. कुलपती यांचे सह सचिव, राजभवन, मलबार हिल, मुंबई, यांना माहितीसाठी सादर अग्रेषित. (२) श्री. रमेश झाडे, अध्यक्ष, राष्ट्रीय गुणवत्ता मंच, २/७०४, श्री. श्वास्वत कॉम्प्लेक्स, प्लेझंट पार्क, मीरा-भायंदर रोड, मीरा रोड (पूर्व), जिल्हा - ठाणे. यांना माहितीसाठी अग्रेषित.

**MAHARASHTRA FEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE
TEACHERS ORGANIZATIONS (MFUCTO)**

DHARNA

&

MARCH TO PARLIAMENT

ON MONDAY, 2nd OF AUGUST, 2010.

DHARNA : At JANTAR MANTAR from 11.30 AM to 2.30 PM

MARCH TO PARLIAMENT : At 2.30 PM.

Office bearers are requested to go to the Teachers and prepare them to participate in the mass Dharna at New Delhi.

- C.R. Sadasivan, President, MFUCTO

MAHARASHTRA FEDERATION OF UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS ORGANIZATIONS (MFUCTO)

NAGPUR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION (NUTA)

**MASSIVE MARCH
TO PARLIAMENT ON
02.08.2010**

सूचना

जिल्हा कार्यकारिणी व तालुका कार्यकारिणीच्या तातडीच्या सभा आयोजित करणे

संघटनेच्या जिल्हा कार्यकारी मंडळांच्या व तालुका कार्यकारी मंडळांच्या तातडीच्या बैठकी आयोजित करण्यात याव्यात. जिल्हा पदाधिकाऱ्यांनी व तालुका पदाधिकाऱ्यांनी आपआपल्या जिल्ह्यामध्ये व तालुक्यांमध्ये दौरे आयोजित करून उपरोक्त धरणे आंदोलन यशस्वी करण्यासाठी कार्यरत व्हावे अशी विनंती करण्यात येत आहे.

आपला विनीत
(एकनाथ कढाळे)
सचिव "नुटा"

NUTA BULLETIN (Official Journal of NAGPUR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION)
CHIEF EDITOR : Dr.A.G.Somvanshi, Shankar Nagar, AMRAVATI-444 606. **EDITOR** : Prof. S.S. Gawai 1, Abhinav State Bank Colony, Chaprashi Pura, Camp, AMRAVATI 444 602. **PUBLISHER** : Prof. Dhote D.S., 4C, 'Rajdatta', Mahalaxmi Colony, Near Shankar Nagar, Amravati-444 606. Type Setting at NUTA Bulletin Office, Phundkar Bhavan, Behind Jain Hostel, Maltekadi Road, Amravati-444 601. **PRINTED AT** Bokey Printers, Gandhi Nagar, Amravati. (M.S) **REGD NO. MAHBIL/2001/4448** Postal Registration No. ATI/RNP/78/2009-11 WPP Registration No. NR/ATI/WPP-01/2009-11 Price : Rs. Five / Name of the Posting office : **R.M.S. Amravati. Date of Posting :12.07.2010**

If Undelivered , please return to : NUTA Bulletin Office, Phundkar Bhavan, Behind Jain Hostel, Maltekadi Road, **Amravati-444 601.**

To,.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....